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EDITOR'S NOTE

As you probably noticed, the editorial staff of Leptir Mašna changes every year. This year, in an attempt to solicit greater attention from our distinguished readers, we have decided to change the format of the journal. This has required extra time for technical adjustments, learning new skills, and, most importantly, incorporating fresh ideas into this ever-evolving journal. So, we admit—we are delayed!

However, it is never too late to perfect a language, especially your mother tongue. The 2009-2010 academic year afforded us with many heritage speakers of Bosnian, Bulgarian, Croatian, Montenegrin, and Serbian, both in language, literature and culture classes. We salute these young students who, despite their heavy course loads have decided to learn, maintain, or perfect these languages, increasingly less taught in American academia. We appreciate their contributions not only as students but as proliferators of their respective cultures, family histories, and individual observations.

With this in mind, we dedicate this issue to them, and we thank them on behalf of all the teachers and students of the Balkan cohort.

Nada Petković
Spring 2010
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In *Imagining the Balkans*, Maria Todorova considers the image of the Balkans that the West invokes. She writes, “It is...distinctly male. The standard Balkan male is uncivilized, primitive, crude, and without exception, disheveled” (Todorova, 14). This “primitive” and “disheveled” male image, for the West, satisfactorily encompasses the perceived violence, filth and backwardness that define the Balkans. In light of this stereotype, Balkan women seem to fall to the wayside. However, engaging in a close examination of Orhan Pamuk’s *Snow* and Milcho Manchevski’s *Before the Rain* reveals the very important role women play in helping to mitigate, as well as to fully comprehend, the full consequence of such projected stereotypes. In her analysis of *Before the Rain*, Katarzyna Marciniak writes, concerning the role of women, “Even though [women] are narratively “punished” for their transgressive acts, through their actions both women [Hana and Zamira] point to the urgency of critiquing the intersection of patriarchal hegemony and the logic of ethnic violence. Their affiliations with men outside their “blood” signal cross-cultural, cross-ethnic openings...” (Marciniak, 75). Marciniak suggests that women, in providing positive contrasts to the “primitive, crude” men, present an escape from the totalized and internalized masculine stereotype that Todorova asserts characterizes the Balkan image. However, it is equally important to consider the other ways in which women play a crucial role in shedding light on the “urgency of critiquing,” not simply as “sites of critical possibility,” but also as “sites” of illumination. Yesim Arat notes, considering the role of women in Turkey, “As women redefin[e] their identities in search of political gains, the state and society against which those identities were formed also change[s]” (Arat, 118). This observation not only informs the importance of women’s roles in relation to their nations, but also in terms of the projections they receive. If women are able to break from the symbolic “identities” that the men in their communities have imposed upon them, they will necessarily enforce a change on those communities. Without the ability to project problems, fears and responsibilities onto other bodies, those who project will be forced to reconsider their claims. Just as the Balkans as a whole has been reduced to a place of “violence and primitiveness,” so women in both *Snow* and *Before the Rain* are completely reduced to mediatory political symbols. The transformation of women into such totalized and potent political symbols in *Snow* and *Before the Rain*, and their inability to escape the responsibilities that such symbols project onto them, illuminates the harmful consequences projecting unfounded stereotypes or roles inflict on those who are projected upon, as well as on those who enforce the projections to the extent that they allow social problems to be ignored. This compound understanding of the harmful nature of projected images in *Snow* and *Before the Rain* elucidates the damaging nature of Western Europe’s perceptions of the Balkans.

The inextricable terms through which the associations between women and the political
conflict that dominates Turkey come to be expressed throughout Pamuk’s *Snow* and illuminate the extent to which socio-political projections strip individuals of their humanity. Explaining the centrality of women in the conflict between secularism and Islamism, Muhtar, one of the Islamist leaders, says, “All across Turkey, our support of the covered girls is the key expression of our political vision” (Pamuk, 55). That “support of covered girls” is “the key” to Muhtar’s, and other Islamists’ political campaign reveals the extent to which women have been drawn into the conflict. Without the means to “express” andadvertize the “political vision,” the “vision” would cease to exist. The audience’s reaction to the physical image of a headscarf girl at the National Theater Performance further emphasizes the overpowering nature of projected images. Pamuk writes, “no one expected to see an actual woman onstage wearing a head scarf. When they did, they took it to be a sort of head scarf that has become the respected symbol of Islam” (Pamuk, 158). “Headscarf girls” have become so mythologized as symbols that concrete images of them are shocking. The audience’s need to mitigate their shock at seeing the “woman wearing a head scarf” by reinterpreting her headscarf into a “respected symbol of Islam” further elucidates how inextricable the woman have become to the image: no one can conceive of a woman wearing a headscarf in an apolitical manner.

The fact that the wearing or removing of headscarves can be interpreted both positively and negatively according to differing political perspective emphasizes the inescapable framework of social projections. In her performance on stage, Funda Eser announces, “When the angry girl tore the scarf off her head, she [is] not just making a statement about people or about national dress, she was talking about [their] souls, because the scarf, fez, turban, and the headdress are symbols of the reactionary darkness in [their] souls” (Pamuk, 158, 162). So focused on the political tensions that dominate the Turkish political landscape, the people have all but forgotten about the lives and the personalities who carry the burden of this political symbolism. That the headscarf is immediately understood either to be “the respected symbol of Islam,” or a “symbol[ ] of the reactionary darkness in [Islamists] souls” points both to the lack of agency women retain once transformed into symbols, as well as the inescapable nature of that role. Once established as “key expressions” to the Islamist “political vision,” nothing women do can be construed as apolitical. On the one hand, wearing a headscarf promotes an image of staunch, “reactionary” Islamism, on the other, removing it necessarily connotes heretical atheism. The mediation of the association between the “actual woman” and the “head scarf” by a “stage” also illuminates the contrived nature of this symbol. Just as acting on a stage represents a departure from reality, so the woman’s association, which has become so inextricable in Turkish society, with politics by virtue of the “headscarf,” is equally unreal. The conflict has come to surround them completely.

The fact that Mitre, Bojan and their gang have no tangible evidence tying Zamira to the crime, but continue to pin the burden of culpability on her, provides complementary insight to the often unfounded, yet totally overpowering, nature of projected images the situation of women in *Snow* presents. After Zamira is captured, it quickly becomes clear that there is no real evidence confirming her role in Zdrave’s death. When Aleks goes to the hut where Bojan and Mitre are guarding Zamira, he asks Bojan angrily, “What evidence do you have?” to which Bojan has no satisfactory answer (Manchevski). The fact that Bojan and Mitre refuse to give her up despite their obvious lack of evidence reveals that Zamira has come to be associated with much more than simply Zdrave’s murder: she has come to symbolize all of the tensions and bitterness that characterize the relations between the Macedonian Orthodox and Albanian Muslim populations. Such comments
throughout the film as “Five hundred years of our blood. It’s our chance for payback” point to Bojan’s and Mitre’s ultimate desire to seek vengeance against the entirety of the Albanian Muslim community. The association these statements forge between Zamira’s death and “payback” for Ottoman wrongs suggests that it is not so much Zamira’s alleged crime that makes her so despicable and worthy of mortal punishment, but rather her connection, by virtue of her Muslim religion, to Ottoman rule, emphasizing her role as a symbol. In the Balkans, after the fall of the Ottoman Empire, the Muslim population, whether actually Turkish by descent or not, became inextricably associated with the past Ottoman oppressors. By virtue of this association, they became the focus of much of the bitterness that persisted long after the fall of the Ottoman Empire amongst the Orthodox populations (Todorova, 178). Because a widescale attempt at retribution against the entire Muslim community is not feasible, especially in light of the war occurring across the border in Bosnia that is occurring throughout Before the Rain, the Macedonians pin their hatred and resentment onto Zamira’s person. It does not matter if she has actually committed the crime at hand or not. What matters for them is that they finally have something tangible on to which they can express their long held frustrations and concerning Ottoman domination.

The fact that Zamira’s grandfather and brother also inflict cruelty upon her in mirroring the dual burden with which the “headscarf girls,” in their roles as political symbols twice constituted by the secularist as well as the Islamists, must contend in Snow, further underscores the totalizing nature of projections. When Zamira’s community discovers her and Kiril after she has been on the run, they do not greet her with relief or kindness, but rather prove to be just as cruel as Mitre and his gang. Zamira’s grandfather beats her mercilessly and forces Kiril to leave. Her grandfather’s cruelty, in addition to the fact that her own brother shoots her, indicates the transformation and reconstitution Zamira’s symbolism undergoes. Throughout the film it becomes clear that many of the Albanian Muslims, Stojan in particular, are just as antagonistic as Mitre and Bojan. The fact that later in the film (though earlier in time), Stojan threatens to “slit” Aleks’ throat simply because he is a Macedonian Christian clearly indicates Stojan’s indiscriminate hatred for the Macedonians. Based on the hostility he expresses in this earlier encounter, it can be assumed that Zamira’s relationship with Kiril, a Macedonian Orthodox man, that is revealed through her pronouncement that “He [Kiril] saved me...He loves me,” and her attempt to run away from her community to join him, constitutes treachery in the eyes of Stojan. Just as the same act of wearing a headscarf in Snow is interpreted two ways, as “reactionary” on the one hand, and “respected” on the other, so Zamira’s position can be interpreted in opposite ways. As a symbol this time for treachery, Zamira is again transformed into the culpable party. While the Macedonians hate her for being an Albanian, the Albanians punish her for crossing the social boundary. The fact that he is able to bring himself to shoot her reemphasizes the totalizing power of projecting images. The power of her projected “treacherous” identity is so overwhelming that Stojan is able to disregard all other moral and emotional attachments.

The central role Zamira plays as Zdrave’s supposed murderer, despite the lack of tangible evidence implicating her in the crime, in the unfurling of the ethnic-religious conflict throughout Before the Rain illuminates the ways in which projected identities serve as a justification for otherwise unjustifiable, and extremely destructive, actions. Stojan’s shooting of his sister not only emphasizes the extent to which the symbol he has projected onto Zamira’s being overshadows her identity, but also alludes to the ends such projected symbolism accomplish. Stojan has just witnessed his grandfather brutalize his younger sister until she is bloody. As the scene pro-
gresses, focused shots of Stojan’s face reveal the emotional discomfort he feels witnessing such violence. He grimaces and looks almost helpless. In so projecting a negative image onto his sister, Stojan is able to distance himself from the guilt and responsibility of standing by while she is tortured. Shooting her, he confirms her role as culpable, rather than questioning the nature of her culpability, and reassessing his own, deeply ingrained hatred. Similarly, the fact that, at the moment of Aleks’ death, Zamira once again receives the burden of the blame, though it is in fact Bojan who is physically responsible for the murder emphasizes the ways in which projecting symbols allows culpable parties to avoid the often painful and embarrassing burden of accepting their mistakes. In immediately turning his gun on Zamira at the realization that Aleks is dead, Bojan divests himself of having to acknowledge the terrible deed he has just committed. It is much easier to divorce oneself from the guilt, projecting it onto a scapegoat, than it is to accept the responsibility of committing a crime and the subsequent punishment.

The ways in which projecting a symbolic meaning onto women provides a distraction from both Stojan’s and Bojan’s own personal crimes mirrors the ways in which Western Europe uses the Balkans’ as an excuse for their own perpetration of brutality. Discussing the ways in which Western European sought to explain both World Wars, Todorova quotes Robert Kaplan who wrote, “Nazism, for instance, can claim Balkan origins. Among the flophouses of Vienna, a breeding ground of ethnic resentments close to the southern Slavic world, Hitler learned how to hate so infectiously” (Todorova, 119). Though the widespread and very thoroughly enacted atrocious brutalities that constituted the Holocaust are undeniably German (or Austro-German), and thus Western, Kaplan somehow manages to stake the blame onto the Balkans. Kaplan’s incentive to do so can only be explained by the uncomfortable position such violence places the West. The West is supposed to be the stronghold of the “rational and liberal,” and it is from this image that they are able to maintain a superior position to the rest of the world, and the Balkans more particularly (Todorova, 137). Events such as the Holocaust, in providing quite convincing contradictory evidence, seriously jeopardize this image, and through it, general Western hegemony. Furthermore, considering the horrors of the Holocaust, accepting responsibility for producing those horrors is an extremely difficult and shameful prospect. Just as Bojan and Stojan turn on Zamira, in her double symbolic role as both villain and traitor, allow them both to avoid the fact of their criminal responsibility, so the deeply ingrained Balkan stereotype allows the West to avoid the full responsibility of its crimes. That Kaplan is able to make use of the projected stereotype of Balkan violence, as a place of “ethnic resentment” and “hatred” to soak up the responsibility for Hitler’s terrible scheme precludes having to consider what it is that is so wrong about the West that it would inspire some of the most terrible deeds in human history.

As it is Zamira who suffers in Before the Rain, so in Snow, it is the “headscarf girls,” transformed into a vehicle for the “key expression of political views,” who must suffer the brunt of the political wrath; their suicides clearly point to the extremely destructive results of projecting essentialized identities
onto communities. In *Snow*, the narrator observes, “According to officials, press interest had served only to push more girls over the edge” (Pamuk, 15). Though it is allegedly “press interest” that pushes women to suicide, the women are only at the mercy of that attention as a result of the political nature of their projected symbolism. Discussing the political ramifications of their deaths, the media attention the “headscarf girls” receive only serves to augment their political, rather than personal, images, thereby adding to the debilitating de-humanization the projections force them to suffer. The abrupt manner in which the girls are reported to commit suicide indicates that the root of their unhappiness lies in their ‘symbolic burden.’ Considering Ka’s reaction to the suicides, the narrator observes, “the thing that shocked and frightened Ka was the way these girls had killed themselves: abruptly, without ritual or warning, in the midst of their everyday routines” (Pamuk, 14). Committing suicide so “abruptly, without ritual or warning,” the “headscarf girls reject” the contrived nature that is associated with the symbolic and reassert their agency as individuals. The fact that they so pointedly avoid all things “ritual[istic]” in death locates the responsibility in their projected identities. Symbols are inextricably related to performance. As Funda Eser’s speech at the National Theater elucidates, as “expressions” of a political debate, women’s actions can never be neutral. They are always playing a part. The fact that these girls feel that the only way to reassert their individuality and identity beyond the scope of the political message is through death points to the terrible consequences of projecting an image onto a person, a culture, religion or place. Projections appear to be so totalizing and all encompassing that they leave no room for individual reassertion reveals that the individual must necessarily perish, either subsumed into the image, or extinguished through death.

The fact that Ka’s shock at the “abrupt” manner, described in the previous paragraph, in which the suicides were conducted so easily distracts away from harsh realities that dominate the lives of “headscarf girls” underscores the negative consequences symbolic preoccupation can bring about upon a society, in addition to the individual. No less important than the fact that these “beatings” and other violations are a direct result of political pressure that these projections burden the women and their families with is the way in which these symbols distract attention away from the internal issues they create. Ka’s concern with the manner in which these girls died, causes him to completely pass over “the constant beatings to which these girls were subjected, or the insensitivity of fathers who wouldn’t even let them go outside, [and] the constant surveillance of jealous husbands” (Pamuk, 14). Considering the “headscarf girls’” suicides in terms of the girls’ symbolism, rather than in terms of their humanity, draws attention away from other possible contributing factors. In view of the gravity of some of these other factors, including “constant beatings,” however, such shortsighted scrutiny of the situation is extremely problematic, especially when approached at a state level. Just as the centrality of the scarf as a symbol distracts Ka’s comprehension of the bigger picture, so such political symbolism allows leaders, both secularist and religious, to ignore the greater social issues that underlie such symbolic projections. Rather than recognizing the suicides as indicators of deeply ingrained national problems, the
state is able to subsume these problems into the already central issue of religious discord. Gathered into the totalized, and ungrounded, world of the symbolic, the terrible state in which these women live appears less real and thereby less pressing. Conveni-ently distracted by the larger political scheme, the state is able to avoid the need to address underlying socio-economic issues.

The ability to project symbolism, whether positive or negative, on women confers on both men and political factions bolster our understanding of how European essentialized projections of irreversible brutality and primitiveness onto the Balkans provide the grounds by which the West can ignore the problems present in Balkans, as well as its role in helping to shape these conflicts. Throughout _Imagining the Balkans_, Maria Todorova points to the ways in which the inherently violent and overall negative stereotypes that the West projects onto the Balkans constitute primarily a means to avoid both confronting the West’s own flaws, as well as taking responsibility, and providing aid, for the problems that plague its continent. Discussing the Yugoslav conflict, and the general lack of European intervention, Todorova writes, “The…Yugoslav atrocities, and in general Balkan atrocities…are expected natural outcomes of a warrior ethos, deeply ingrained in the psyche of the Balkan populations” (Todorova, 137). Projecting upon the Balkan populations a “warrior ethos, deeply ingrained in [their] psyche,” characterizes conflict as something natural, thus transforming the event of “atrocities” from a terrible wrong that must be quickly arrested, the problems at its root ameliorated, into something that, in its ordinariness, can be ignored. Just as the secularists and the Islamists in _Snow_ are able to ignore the underlying suffering the “headscarf girls” suffer as a result of their burdensome politicized role and the nations discord, so the West allows itself to be distracted from the underlying conflicts that explain conflicts in the Balkans. If a problem can be explained away and sectioned off, it no longer poses a threat. Essentializing the Balkans as generally “violent,” the West can avoid confronting particular cases of violence with a ‘clear’ conscience. As a result of this Western indifference, however, the Balkans must continue to suffer unaided.

The ways in which women in both Orhan Pamuk’s _Snow_ and Milcho Manchevski’s _Before the Rain_ suffer throughout each respective piece elucidate the problematic, and ultimately deeply detrimental, aspects of projecting totalizing images onto others, and inform a critique of the Western tendency to project essentialized images of violence onto the Balkans as a whole. Returning to Katarzyna Marciniak’s assertion that women in _Before the Rain_ present “sites of critical possibility,” despite the suffering they must endure, helps to moderate the demoralizing state of affairs that each piece presents. In _Snow_, both Kadife and Ipek successfully mediate the two worlds of secularism, embodied in their father, and Islamism, embodied in Blue, just as Zamira and her mother are able to reject their social symbolism, even if only temporarily, by virtue of their love. Their ability to love, fight and retain their own personal lives and relationships rejects the essentialism that necessarily accompanies projected stereotypes and symbolisms. Their refusal to accept the terms projected on their lives, and thus to provide a convenient distraction from social problems, helps effect the “changes” their conflict-torn “state[s] and societ[ies]” leave unaddressed (Arat, 118). The hope their ability to cast off their roles also informs the relationship between the Balkans and the West. Just as the symbolic roles of women are a product of male manipulation and conflict, this male vision of the Balkans is simply a product of European stereotypes. In this parallel, women and the Balkans align, united in their “Otherness,” while masculinity and Western are analogous. Extending this parallel, Europe takes on the “boorish” and “crude” qualities that are associated with Balkan masculi-
ity. As the scene in the restaurant in Manchevski’s *Before the Rain* illustrates, “Balkan-esque” violence is not so easily divorced from the West. Ulster, and the people who fight and suffer there are not all that different from Serbia or Bosnia or Macedonia. By the same vein, and in conjunction with the fact that women refuse to be fully tied down by their symbolic roles, we realize, that the Balkan people are much more than just the image of Western projections.
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Ova planeta je vrlo mala, možda nije ni planeta, nego svemir—možda, ali nije niko siguran, i štoviše niko ne zna da li je stvarno mala—ali tako svijet priča, pa i ja ću tako da pričam. Oko planete ima jedna siva magla, i kroz nju se ništa ne može vidjeti, ali je moguće da je planeta lijepa—da ima velike planine, zelene šume, i druge takve ljepote svijeta. Isto tako sam čuo da je sve mrtvo i smrdljivo, ali ko će znati! Jedan momak (moje godište i moj dobri drugar) mi je rekao da planeta uopće ne postoji i da je sama metafora. Kad je čula ovu mladalačku glupost, moja tetka je meni objasnila kako je to istinska planeta i kako njena pokojna majka je na nju putovala svakog lijeta kad je ona bila mala. Ja sam sve ovo fino napisao svojoj profesorici Padi Netković, ne znajući da će uskoro početi da galami na mene. Kaže meni profesorica: “O Juraj, idiot, ovo je bio samo jednostavni domaći zadatak, na koji već kasniš dvije sedmice!” Ovo je meni bio sumnjiv odgovor, i nisam ga nimalo prihvatio.

This planet is very small, perhaps it isn’t even a planet, but a moon—maybe, but no one is certain, and what’s more no one knows if it truly is small—but that is what men say, so I will say it too. Around the planet there is a gray fog through which one cannot see anything, but it is possible that the planet is pretty—that it has large mountains, green forests, and other such earthly beauties. On the other hand, I have heard that everything is dead and fetid, but who can say! A young man (my age and a very good friend of mine) told me that the planet does not actually exist, but rather that it is a metaphor. When she heard of this youthful stupidity, my aunt explained to me how it really does exist and how her late mother vacationed there every summer when my aunt was little. All of this I amiably explained to my professor Pada Netković, not knowing at the time that she would soon begin to yell at me. The professor tells me: “O Juraj, you idiot, this was supposed to be a simple assignment, on which you are already two weeks late!” This struck me as a dubious answer, and I didn’t accept it in the slightest.
On the basis of grammatical parallels between southern Montenegrin and western Macedonian dialects, documentary historical evidence, and the relatively frequent presence of Slavic loanwords in Albanian, the existence of a contiguous belt of Slavic speech communities between Montenegrin and Macedonian has been hypothesized (Popovich 1958, Greenberg 2000). The border between East and West South Slavic would have run through the territory encompassed by these dialects, making this an area of particular interest for the historical development of South Slavic.

Popovich 1958 argues that southern Montenegrin dialects in which both jers yield /ea/ (or [e]) are remnants of a transitional group of dialects in which both jers merge, but with an East South Slavic reflex (Popovich 1958: 2000). The other possibility for such a transitional dialect would be to merge the jers, but with the other possible East South Slavic reflex (i.e., /o/). I argue in this paper that the Albanian dialect of Opoja preserves evidence of exactly this.

The Albanian dialect of Opoja was almost certainly formed on the basis of a Slavic substrate (Mladenovic 2001, Lutovac 1956). Opoja itself occupies a position slightly north of the Slavic dialect of Gora, which is notably divergent from the nearby northern Macedonian and Prizren-Morava varieties of Slavic. Among other features, it has /o/ and /e/ as jer reflexes, unlike the Prizren dialect, which has schwa (Mladenovic 2001, Ivic 1956/2001). Therefore, geographically, Opoja lies on the precise boundary of an isogloss that appears to have spread out more widely into northern Albania. No direct evidence of the jer reflexes in Opoja have been preserved, but I argue that relevant traces have been left in the Albanian dialect of Opoja after language shift took place.

In particular, Opoja is characterized by the widespread presence of /o/ in inflectional and derivational morphemes (Pajaziti 2005). In some cases, this /o/ directly corresponds to /a/ in Albanian, while in other instances, there are independent motivations for hypothesizing an intermediate development from some other vowel to /a/. This strongly suggests that the widespread /o/ in Opoja is due to a shift from * a > /o/. Also of note is that this /o/ innovates vowel-zero alternations in some morphological environments that parallel comparable alternations in Slavic, e.g. Opoja i vesh-om ‘clothed-masc.sg.’ ~ e vesh-me ‘clothed-fem.sg.’ like Macedonian sladok ‘sweet-masc.sg.’ ~ slatka ‘sweet-fem.sg.’ (Pajaziti 2005: 135). This development strongly suggests that an association between alternating Slavic /o/ and alternating Albanian /a/ was implemented during the process of language shift and applied to Albanian. The fact that only /o/ is attested, instead of /e/, suggests that the original situation in Opoja was the other logical possibility suggested by the one-jer Montenegrin dialects with /e/; i.e., that both jers merged to /o/ in Opoja.
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Bathed in the chilly blue light of aged film, officers plunge their hands into the pockets of disintegrating corpses and extract molting passports and withered identification cards. The bodies are caked in soil and moss. At best, the bodies are distinct and their parts and features identifiable. But often the corpses have already putrefied into a heap of clothing and earth. The officers who tiptoe through this decomposing maze must confront the fragile boundary between human life and inorganic waste. Filmmaker Dušan Makavejev slyly weaves this terrifying documentary footage of the Katyn Forest Massacre into his narrative 1974 film *Sweet Movie*. These short windows into a reality submerged in death are difficult to process. Early on in the film, it is unclear whether they’ve been added for gratuitous shock value, or as sober pauses from the hedonistic fever that dominates the rest of the film. Makavejev’s motives remain dangerously opaque until the end of the film. The footage is married to a context loaded with historical enmity: filmed by the Nazis, it was initially wielded as anti-Soviet propaganda. The context compliments its content; the footage becomes an interesting footprint of two ideological monsters crossing paths.

In his article *Radical Evil as a Freudian Category*, Slavoj Žižek dissects nationalistic fundamentalism into its three gravest consequences. He explains that ethno-centric fundamentalism builds up a blind spot blocking one’s perspective on qualities internal to one’s culture. One of the features that exist outside the field of vision of the fundamentalist is “the obscene undertext” of his ideological platform. Žižek argues that for every system of beliefs, there is a crude and graphic underbelly. It is in this subterranean territory that theory acts out its most extreme and sensational implications. The subtle power dynamics discreetly tucked into an ideological system rear their ugly heads in this subtext. Žižek anecdotally cites the photographs of tortured prisoners at the Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq to illustrate his point. He argues that these images emerge from a swarming hotbed of violent and sexual impulses that is always present in the American pathos, but is usually covert.

Upon seeing the photographs, George W. Bush’s defensive instinct was to distance these obscenities from his definition of “American values,” insisting that they “were isolated crimes which do not reflect what America stands and fights for.” Bush maintains this disconnect because, according to Žižek’s logic, he has been completely absorbed into the “fundamentalist” standard ethics of the culture of American capitalism. The photographs don’t resonate with him because their relevance falls within his blind spot. To re-forge the connection, to position the behavior of the soldiers at Abu Ghraib as a symptom of the “obscenity” latent in the ideology that fueled the war in Iraq, would force Bush to break with his fundamentalist mentality, and to critically reevaluate his ethical platform. This sort of self-analysis, according to Žižek, is alien to the mechanisms of fundamentalism.

I believe that the uncomfortable parallels and analogies Makavejev draws in his films are meant to instigate a rupture of exactly this nature. He arranges gruesome juxtapositions, sandwiching documentary images of decomposing bodies within melodramatic and sensational scenes rolling back and forth between sex and murder and murder and sex. These provocative scenes resist serious readings, but run up against the sudden brick wall of footage that requires the viewer to adjust his attention. Regardless of whether the unrestrained sexuality ram-
pant in the rest of the film makes you laugh or feel nauseated, it is impossible to process the footage of the massacre without adjusting your countenance. But the shifts are sudden and extreme; *Sweet Movie’s* audience must be nimble and flexible in its attitudes. Makavejev forces congruence in an abrasive and unexpected way. His objective is to reestablish the visible continuity between overt ideology and its obscene subtext. In doing so, he interrupts the momentum of aesthetic uniformity that he finds so problematic in fundamentalism.

“Fundamentalism” as a concept is always at risk of becoming a blanket term. In Žižek’s article, he applies it to hegemonic thought structures in a rotating panorama of oppressed nation-states. His usage of the term is, however, more precise than it appears. For Žižek, fundamentalism is the format of a dominant ideology that either perpetrates or has the capacity to perpetrate radical evil. Žižek borrowed this term from Hannah Arendt, who characterizes radical evil in the following terms.

> When the impossible was made possible it became the unpunishable, unforgivable, absolute evil which could no longer be understood and explained by evil motives of self-interest, greed, covetousness, resentment, lust for power. When the impossible was made possible it became the unpunishable, unforgivable, absolute evil which could no longer be understood and explained by evil motives of self-interest, greed, covetousness, resentment, lust for power and cowardice; and which therefore anger could not revenge, love could not endure, friendship could not forgive. Just as the victims in the death factories or the holes of oblivion are no longer ‘human’ in the eyes of their executioners, so this newest species of criminals is beyond the pale even of solidarity in human sinfulness.

For Arendt, the category of radical evil is deliberately reserved for Nazism and the genocide it brought into the world. Žižek subtly rephrases Arendt’s criteria to justify applying the term to various incarnations of totalitarianism. The main features of Arendt’s definition remain; for Žižek, radical evil is made possible by an ideological apparatus that reconfigures and distorts personal guilt, rendering impossible crimes suddenly possible, within the autonomous logic of a system of ideology.

Faced with the gigantic volume of corpses in the footage of the Katyn Forest Massacre, it is hard to imagine what radical evil would look like if this isn’t its very face. The Katyn Forest Massacre of 1940 was the Soviet secret police’s mass-murder of Polish officers, intellectuals and prisoners of war by the thousands, primarily in Russia’s Katyn Forest. To integrate footage from this context in a film brimming with Marxist dogma, both explicit and allusive, can only be a pointed choice. Makavejev artfully layers didactic speeches and aggressive social critiques with the grotesque consequences of ideological absolutism. One of *Sweet Movie’s* heroines is a Marxist revolutionary by day and a serial murderess by night. Her radical philosophy and her violent deeds are bound up with one another. Yet Makavejev neither condones nor condemns the passions of his protagonist. His stance as the film’s choreographer is much more complex.

*Sweet Movie* rejects the repressive conventions of bourgeois society with actions as much as with words. However, the film is hardly confident in communism as the best alternative. By this measure, it has objectives similar to those of the Dziga Vertov Group, but achieves them more comprehensively. The Dziga Vertov Group was a small cooperative of politically minded filmmakers, most notably Jean-Luc Godard and Jean-Pierre Gorin, who made films collaboratively between 1968 and 1972. Their films directly discussed Marxist philosophy and incorporated Brechtian aesthetic conventions. They made films as a collective, eschewing the concept of individual authorship. Formally, their films are of the same ilk as Makavejev’s *Sweet
The effect is belittling; the films become pantomimes. They resemble puppet shows, starring young and naïve French schoolboys who make-believe they are Karl Marx’s last living disciples.

Makavejev is certainly in cahoots with Godard and company as far as their attack on bourgeois culture goes. If they’re playing cowboys and Indians, then Makavejev plays along. The moment where his view divorces from theirs is difficult to pinpoint, but it is clear that the Marxist dogma proselytized by the Vertov Group is inadequate for him as a philosophical model. In *WR*, his heroine insists that no Marxist revolution can succeed unless it is accompanied by a sexual revolution. Her view is representative of Makavejev’s own sentiments, but this discrepancy alone does not fully explain his distaste for the stringent Marxism of the Vertov Group’s films. For Makavejev, dogmatic Marxism represents yet another face of fundamentalism. He makes this point subtly. It is difficult to determine where exactly his allegiances end. He doesn’t fully reject Marxism itself; he rejects its slogans and the literality of its codes. His deft manipulation of the Katyn Forest Massacre imagery in *Sweet Movie* is a clear marker of his conflicted attitude towards the political absolutism personified in the character of Anna Planeta.

Within the rhythm of the film, the archival footage functions as a series of somewhat anonymous, almost mechanical pangs of conscience. They pepper the film’s narrative, which forks into two parallel plots. One of the plots follows Anna Planeta, a Polish Marxist
who sails down a canal in a generic European city. Her boat has the elaborate appearance of a parade float. It is weighed down with ornament and boasts at its bow a gigantic papier-mâché bust of Karl Marx. Anna sings rallying songs championing global solidarity through communism, and takes as her lover a handsome sailor named Potemkin. She is a highly stylized portrait of a communist radical gone awry. Throughout the film she gradually transforms into an avatar for excess. She personifies unrestrained libidinal indulgence to the extent that her behavior looks grotesque from even the most lenient perspective. She lures children and lovers and children as lovers into her private, floating kingdom stocked with an endless supply of sugar, candies and sexual attention. The situation doesn’t end well for anyone. At one point early on in the film, Anna warns her newest conquest that her boat is filled with corpses. The accuracy of this statement isn’t clear until the end of the film, when she stabs her lover to death while making love to him in a basin filled with granulated sugar. Her storyline runs into a dead end when the police seize her boat and evacuate a series of corpses wrapped in cellophane.

From the image of the bodies lined up on the river bank, Makavejev cuts back to the Katyn Massacre footage. It is impossible to see these scenes in sequence without drawing an analogy between Anna Planeta’s crimes and the mass extermination of thousands of Poles. Makavejev draws this parallel to demonstrate that fundamentalism, even on a personal level, can have fatal consequences. At the same time, this dynamic filmmaker is not one to let his judgments fossilize. As soon as Sweet Movie feigns to settle down as a condemnation of Anna’s political rigidity, Makavejev shifts his weight and casts doubt on his own conclusion. The film draws to a close with one last glance at the bodies of Anna’s victims lined up on the waterfront. The cellophane bundles bare a striking resemblance to cocoons. This impression is only sharpened when they begin to rustle, and children wriggle out of their deathbeds, as if reborn. This strange dash of hope brings about another moment of disconcerting incongruence, and Makavejev’s ultimate moral evaluation regains its fluidity. Makavejev is constantly checking his impulses and undermining his juridical authority. This level of introspection is the exact element that is so sorely missed in the Dziga Vertov Group’s work.

The Dziga Vertov Group’s style of filmmaking, oversaturated with ideology and entirely bereft of self-skepticism, embodies the intellectual tendencies of which Makavejev is so adamantly critical. It is precisely this kind of cinema, however, that provides him with an ample reservoir of footage and material to draw from in his own films. Makavejev has called cinema “the perfect consumer, with a stomach for anything.” His films are like the stomach of a shark; they swallow and internalize everything they question, but the objects of their critique are shown in their entirety, undisgested and standing alone, with Makavejev’s whisper of skepticism faint and off-screen. Some of his movies are so well stocked with nods to agitprop cinema that they disorient the viewer. It often takes concerted effort and a nuanced eye to separate these genre-affects, meant to be subject to scrutiny, from Makavejev’s own attitude. This raises a critical question of form: is there enough of a palpable difference between quoting propaganda and making it?

In his article On Makavejev On Bergman, Stanley Cavell analyzes Makavejev’s work as a filmmaker by discussing a paper and an “experiment” Makavejev presented at a conference held at Harvard in 1978 on Ingmar Bergman. At the conference, the preamble to Makavejev’s talk was a reel he had edited together of non-verbal sequences from Bergman’s films. He used three screens, centering those scenes filmed in black and white, and flanking them with widescreen scenes shot in color. The effect must have been stunning but also idiosyncratic, calling to mind the
collage technique that so consistently styles most of Makavejev’s films. His intention was for the sequence to draw to the surface Bergman’s hidden motives. By cleansing the imagery of plot, he hoped to elucidate its latent subnarrative structure. He explains in his paper that “it is in these [nonverbal] sequences that Bergman tells us of many subliminal processes occurring beneath the level of the verbal interaction.”

This language sounds familiar. Makavejev visualizes the structure of Bergman’s films as a layered sedimentation of meaning, wherein the vigorous viewer can excavate low-lying truths. He turns away from the verbosity of Bergman’s lengthy dialogues, and plunges beneath the surface of the film to render visible that which has been neatly concealed. This technique is similar to the methodology he applies to his own films, where explicit Marxist theory functions as the surface of his analysis. The subtext he brings forth, then, is ideology’s obscene underbelly, as described in Žižek’s article.

The final sequence of the footage of the Katyn Forest Massacre ends with a quote projected on the screen: “Let us think of these things always and speak of them never.” This title card could serve as an ironic heading for a great portion of Makavejev’s material. To speak of “these things” is Makavejev’s audacious priority. His films are successful only when they violate this dictum. He harnesses the unspoken but the ever-present, forcing confrontation between theory and its sometimes-obscene incarnations. Through his willingness to welcome the unimaginable into his diegesis, he provokes strange collisions and strips the fundamentalist of at least a few of his thousand layers of self-assurance. As arbiter, he rescinds the right to narrate without absolving the viewer of the pressure to have an ethical reaction. His moral landscape is far from deserted, but its features are generous and constantly changing.
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“KAD BIH SAMO MOGLA BITI STRAŠNA...”  
*Lana Jovanovic*

O, da sam samo bila kao “Barbie” ne bih imala moj trenutni problem—gdje ne mogu da se snadem, da smislim nešto o čemu da pišem. Ma, joj! Grozno... gadno... nevjerovatno... nedopustivo!

**Refren:**
Ah, da sam samo bila kao Barbie moj svijet bi bio ružičast i sretan. Ne bi postojao uspjeh koji bi bio nemoguć, život bi bio lak i sretan...

O, da sam samo bila kao ta fantastična barbika u mojim rukama. Da sam samo bila takav sretan tip, ne bi postoji cilj koji bi mi bio težak... Uspijela bih živiti u jednoj zemlji gdje nikad ne bih osijetila gorki ukus razočarenja. Da sam samo bila kao Barbie...

**Chorus:**
Ah, if only I was like Barbie  
My world would be pink and lucky.  
There wouldn’t be a single goal that I’d find troublesome  
Life would be easy and happy...

O, if only I was like that fantastic Barbie in my hands,  
If only I was of that lucky sort, there wouldn’t be a single goal I’d find inconceivable,  
I would get to live in a world where I would never feel the bitter taste of disappointment. If only I was like Barbie.

**Refren:**
Ah, da sam samo bila kao Barbie moj svijet bi bio ružičast i sretan. Ne bi postojao uspjeh koji bi bio nemoguć, život bi bio lak i sretan...

Ali, na žalost, nemam takvu sreću, nikada neću biti na tom nivou ‘strašnosti’! Nije mi nadohvat... nažalost--nisam Barbie.

IF I ONLY COULD BE AMAZING  
*Lana Jovanovic*

O, if only I could be like “Barbie” then I wouldn’t be facing this dilemma—I can’t find my way, Can’t conceive anything to write about. O, darn! Terrible... inconceivable... unbelievable... unacceptable!

**Chorus:**
Ah, if only I was like Barbie  
My world would be pink and lucky.  
There wouldn’t be a single goal that I’d find troublesome  
Life would be easy and happy...

Unfortunately, I don’t have that sort of luck. I will never reach that caliber of awesomeness. It’s not within reach. Regrettably I’m just not Barbie.
Women play a very important role in the rhetoric of nationalist narratives. The most common function of femininity within the context of nationalism is that of the symbolic. Nationalist narratives are made more credible through their “naturalization” in the context of traditional masculine/feminine gender roles. In order to achieve these aims, the feminine is reduced to the level of the symbolic. Instead of acting as individuals, women become equated with the landscape and with the borders of the nation. They also have a highly symbolic role not as individual mothers but as vessels for the future heroes of the nation. Because the feminine is so intricately connected with the nation, the trauma of rape is an extremely productive trope in nationalist narratives. Within nationalist rhetoric, women function within the realm of the passive and the symbolic while men are active and endowed with individual agency that manifests itself in the form of epic/heroic acts in defense of the nation. While the symbolic is the most common function of the feminine within nationalist narratives, women can also play a different role—that of members of “the Other.”

Anton Donchev’s Time of Parting, published in 1964, is a prime example of nationalist narrative. A close reading of the novel reveals not only myriad examples of women filling the role of the symbolic but also two prominent examples of the functions of women as “other”—the characters Sevda and Gyulfé. Sevda is the only Bulgarian woman with significant agency, but this agency is dangerous because it a product of her unrestrained sexuality and ultimately leads to her betrayal of the nation. Her betrayal and conversion ultimately separates her from the nation and makes her a member of “the Other.” Gyulfé is the only named female member of “the Other” that reader is presented with. Through the tropes of infertility and desire for members of the nation, Gyulfé fills the role of a member of “the Other” desiring the ‘essence’ of the nation.

The trope of ‘the traitor’ is central to the rhetoric of nationalism throughout the Balkans—the most notorious example of this idea is, of course, Serbia’s Vuk Branković, on whom tradition places the burden of having betrayed his king at the Battle of Kosovo. This trope functions within nationalist narratives in order to explain the fall of the nation. In his analysis of historical perspectives on nationalism, historian Lloyd Kramer points out one definition of nationalism as “the religion of modernity” and within that definition traitors take on the role of heretics and other “evil figures” in religious narratives (Kramer, 533). Because of this elevation to the realm of the spiritual the nation as an entity is endowed with a ‘God-like’ infallibility. For something so great to fall, the weakening must come from within, thus necessitating treason. One potential role for women within nationalist narrative is to fill that need for internal corruption.

Given the intimate relationship between women and nation, the role of women as traitors is problematic. Because women are symbolically linked with the physical being of the nation, this treason is possible only with the transition from the realm of the general and the symbolic into the realm of the individual. Women are divorced from the landscape when they are given agency as individuals, but this agency comes with a perversion of their purity and the demonization of their sexuality. Sevda is the example of this function of femininity in Time of Parting. She is the only female character on the Bulgarian side with significant individual agency and her agency is also intricately linked with her
sexuality and her betrayal.

Within the novel, Sevda acts as a character-foil to Elitsa—paragon of purity, virtue, and the role of women in the realm of the symbolic. With Elitsa’s elevated role as the physical embodiment of the Rhodope Mountains comes a special sort of impotence. Through-out most of the novel, Elitsa is not in a position to make decisions. For example, she has little say in her marriages—all three of which are significantly symbolic. Because of the importance of her wedding to Manol, all Elitsa can do when Momchil asks for her nosegay is, with “lips quivering” and eyes shining “boldly and desperately,” tell him it’s already been given and flee (Donchev, 149). Similarly, after Manol dies, his wedding rings, and Elitsa along with them, are simply passed down to Momchil as a symbolic ‘passing of the torch’ through generations of male heroes. There are no scenes in the novel where Elitsa makes choices about her own fate—these decisions are made for her to best fit her role as the nation incarnate. Although Elitsa is thrice mar-
ried, only her relationship with Momchil is consummated. That act is also a very symbolic one during which not her womb, but “the earth’s womb” opens up, allowing her to, in some sense, retain her purity (Donchev, 241). When her son is born, Elitsa too becomes reduced to a vessel and widowed. Sevda then proposes to Manol that they would “suit each other well.” However, Manol is quick to rebuff her and reproaches her by asking about all of the young men who “wait at the threshold when the sun goes down” and “sing at night, when the moon shows itself” (Donchev, 27). Although Sevda is portrayed as a beautiful woman, her beauty is a dangerous and immodest one: despite being a widow she leaves her hair uncovered and her beauty is compared to that of “Dalilah, who took the strength of Samson” (Donchev, 26).

Unlike Elitsa’s beauty—which is signified by purity and nature imagery—Sevda’s beauty is corrupted by her sexuality. This corruption and the danger implicit in it are most evident in a passage from page 62, when the Venetian narrator first encounters Sevda. The language that he uses to describe her face at that moment is exactly that of corruption, of “rotting.” “Her face changed,” he observes, “Shadows glided over it. They appeared from the white flesh as lightly as rot appears where soft fruit has been touched with rough fingers—at the spot where the fruit will begin to rot... The secret light revealed the future” (Donchev, 62). In his analysis of historical literature about nationalism, Lloyd Kramer also follows several threads of nationalism scholarship in relation to sexuality. He outlines the ideas of scholars like George Mosse who first drew the connection between the rise of nationalism in the nineteenth century and the “emergence of new ideas about proper social behavior—especially the bourgeois emphasis on controlling sexual passions” (Kramer, 538). In effect, ideas about ‘the nation’ and the individual’s responsibility to ‘the nation’ were coming into being simultaneously with ideas about the importance of modesty, chastity, and sexual purity and the
two concepts became closely intertwined. To be, in Kramer’s words, a “good nationalist” also meant to be a morally upstanding member of society. Therefore, Sevda’s immodest and corrupt sexuality could not bode well for her future as a member of the nation and ultimately leads to her betrayal and conversion.

Sevda’s first betrayal of the Bulgarian nation and ultimate sin is throwing her husband’s dogs into the pit. She throws the dogs into the pit even though they are not mad—leaving the wretched beasts to devour each other. This is of great symbolic significance as the dogs represent the Bulgarian people. Thrown into the pit, or faced with conversion, they are pitted against one another. Their intolerable howling is a recurring image throughout the novel, constantly foreshadowing the horror that is to come. It is described on numerous occasions and in various ways but the tone always remains the same—foreshoding and horrible. A prime example of this notion is the Venetian’s pained description of “an indescribable, long-drawn-out howling of dogs... devils must be coming for the sinner’s soul” (Donchev, 75). Throughout the narrative, there are numerous curses directed at the wretch who dared pit brother against brother and incite this haunting howling. That “wretch” is Sevda and her abandonment of the dogs acts as prophesy of her more direct betrayal of Bulgaria later in the novel.

Sevda is the first Bulgarian to convert to Islam. She converts and moves into the Konak out of her own self interest. In her heart, having abandoned the dogs, Sevda has already lost faith in the future of the Bulgarian people. She moves into the Konak because it would be in her interest to side with the victors. Ironically, the framework of the novel makes it appear that it is her act of betrayal that weakens the Bulgarians and makes the Turks the victors, necessitating her betrayal. Later, Sevda becomes Karaibrahim’s lover—making her the bed fellow of the novel’s most “evil” and paradigmatic “Other” character. Of course, her most direct act of betrayal is luring Goran away from his watch post during Manol’s wedding, which leads to the capture of the Bulgarian notables and the downfall of Elindenya valley. The latter of these acts are both explicitly sexual and further link Sevda’s sexuality with “evil” and “betrayal.”

Unlike the male individuals in the novel, the female characters endowed with agency, namely Sevda, act selfishly and in direct opposition to the interests of the group. Sevda betrays the nation solely for personal gain and her conversion is driven solely by this egoism. However, male characters in the novel endowed with agency act in the interest of the group. Most notably, there are the Manol and Momchil figures that actively defend the nation. However, even a comparison between Sevda and the first male convert—Pop Aligorko—uncover a stark difference between their applications of their agency. Pop Aligorko is a very sympathetic character in the novel. He is one of the two narrators and the reader is given vast insight into his mind and his reasoning. His conversion is portrayed as a very painful one and one that is necessitated by the best interest of the group. Aligorko converts in the interest of the survival of the Bulgarian people. If all of the Bulgarians were Manol figures, Bulgaria would be a race of martyrs but also an extinct people. These stark differences between the applications of male agency (driven by the interest of the group) and female agency (driven by selfishness and sexual corruption) act to portray the individual agency of women outside of the acceptable symbolic realm as implicitly dangerous.

At the end of the novel, even Sevda’s agency is revoked and her fate is decided not by her but by the father of her child. Once the Turks have been expelled from Elindenya, the village elders must decide what to do with the traitors and the converts. Sevda is not killed because of the possibility that her child might be Goran’s and not Karaibrahim’s. Sevda’s role as mother is reduced to that of vessel
and it is the paternal lineage of the child that is important. Within Sevda’s daughter rests the potential to be either, if she’s Goran’s daughter, an Elitsa figure of symbolic importance, or, if she is Karaibrahim’s, a dangerous traitor and an example of corrupted femininity.

One interesting aspect of the Sevda character the novel is the dynamic between her and Syuleiman Aga’s wife, Gyulfié. Gyulfié absolutely despises Sevda from the very beginning of the novel, constantly referring to her as not a woman but as “a bitch in season” (Donchev, 62). That is because Gyulfié, as the only named female Turkish character in the novel, represents the other end of the spectrum of female “otherness.” Within the novel, Gyulfié is the “Other” that longs for the nation. She is the female counterpart to the Venetian—an outsider attracted by the perfection that is the nation. However, her desire of the nation is of greater symbolic importance because she is not only an outsider, she is a fully-fledged member of “the Other.”

Philosopher Slavoj Žižek, in his book Tarrying with the Negative, describes the individual’s connection ‘the nation’ as the appreciation of some essence “accessible only to us” that derives its value from the fact that it is both intensely desirable and inaccessible to “the Other” (Žižek, 201). Within Time of Parting, Gyulfié fills the role of “the Other” desiring this ‘essence’—however it remains permanently outside of her grasp. This desire manifests itself in her sexual longing for three specific Bulgarian men.

Gyulfié is not beautiful. Because she is not a member of ‘the nation’ she does not share Elitsa’s pure, natural beauty or even Sevda’s dangerous, immodest beauty. Her overwhelming physical characterization is her girth, she is fat: “in front she swelled out as if she were holding two sacks of flour to her breast” (Donchev, 57). She is also barren. Throughout the narrative, Gyulfié is preoccupied with her inability to procreate and with her husband’s impotence. At one point in the novel, the Venetian points out Gyulfié’s obsession with this idea by asking her “Gyulfié, why do you talk to me only of wombs and fertility?” (Donchev, 309). Syuleiman Aga’s impotence manifests itself after he kills his brother and is a symbol of the unnatural and perverted order of things within the realm of “the Other.” To be good is to be natural is to belong to “the nation.” Outside of the realm of the nation, the natural order of things is corrupted: brother willingly kills brother and woman is no longer viable in her most important capacity as a vessel for future generations of ‘the nation.’ Gyulfié’s infertility is a symbol of her exclusion from the nation. However, despite this exclusion, she harbors an intense longing for the nation.

Gyulfié herself declares that there are only three men who could “warm” her womb and make her fertile: Manol, Goran, and Karaibrahim. It is of great symbolic importance that it is exactly these three men that can so affect Gyulfié. They are all representative of the Bulgarian “essence” in its most virile form. They stand as foils to Syuleiman Aga’s impotence. The three men are brothers—all ‘sons’ in some sense of Old Galoushko. However, together they represent a spectrum of “purity of essence.” Manol is the most “Bulgarian” of the three—he has one hundred mothers and one hundred brothers and was raised by the entire community. He is also the novel’s ultimate epic hero. Karaibrahim is the most corrupted of the Bulgarian essence because through the imposition of “otherness” onto the nation he is taken as a Janissary and returns to kill his father and brothers—the ultimate perversion of the natural order. Goran falls somewhere in the middle, firmly identifying with the Bulgarian cause but still susceptible to temptation and to Sevda’s luring him away from the wedding ceremony. They are all characterized as being impossibly handsome and strong. Gyulfié’s attraction to them represents her attraction to the Bulgarian essence.
However, despite her powerful desire of the nation, this essence is unattainable to Gyulfie. Although she almost manages to seduce Goran by bartering with him for entrance into the Konak this only leads to Goran-mugging a knife inside the compound, wounding Karaibrahim, and martyring himself (Donchev, 245). All three men die before Gyulfie has a chance at an intimate relationship with them. Manol remains inaccessible to her forever. Goran commits suicide in the fire after making the barter at the gate. With Karaibrahim, she hopes to lure him in with the prospect of advancing his position, but he too is dead before Gyulfie can fulfill her desires. The essence of the nation remains inaccessible to “the Other” and the last appearance of Gyulfie in the novel is her bitter exile from Elindenya.

It is interesting to note that from the viewpoint of “the Other,” Gyulfie’s role in the novel is also of a traitor – she allows Goran into the Konak – who’s treason is motivated by her unrestrained sexuality. Another point of interest in regards to the Gyulfie character is her intimate relationship with the Venetian. During one of their first interactions, the Venetian notes that “a secret seemed to link” them and that it seemed as if they “had grown up together” (Donchev, 58). This point of convergence is their shared outsider’s desire for the nation. The Venetian is allowed some access to the nation – he adopts the name Slav and moves to the Elindenya valley. However, because of her status as a fully-fledged member of “the Other,” this luxury is not afforded to Gyulfie.

Within Anton Donchev’s *Time of Parting* and within nationalist narratives as a whole, women are allotted several roles of symbolic significance as physical embodiments of the nation and as the nameless mothers of the nation’s defenders. However, there is also room in this rhetoric for women as members of “the Other.” In *Time of Parting*, this ‘otherness’ takes two principle forms – that of the traitor and that of “the Other” longing for the essence of the nation. In order to become agents of treason, women are divorced from the realm of the symbolic and given agency that is dangerous and sexually driven. Sevda fills that role in the narrative and her abandonment of the dogs into the pit is symbolic of her betrayal of the nation. The latter role of “otherness” in the novel is filled by Gyulfie who desires the “essence” of the Bulgarian nation. This desire manifests itself in her physical desire for Manol, Goran, and Karaibrahim and her barrenness is symbolic of the unnaturalness of her “otherness” and of “otherness” in general and of her exclusion from ‘the nation’.
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ANALIZA BARKODOVA
Stephanie Robey

Ponedjeljak i srijeda od tri do pet
Knjižnica je moj autoritet
Utorak i četvrtak od dva do četiri
Umirem od dosade za malo nagrade.

Police su visoke i klaustrofobične
Ja sam spora i neproduktivna
To je još dosadnije nego predavanje
Ali to je posao, plata, a trebam novac

Između knjiga
Ja sam sama
Kretanje mojih ruku
Su automatizam.
Prašina i trulež
Na knjigama koje ne čitam
Da li sam ćaknuta?
Dok radim, sanjam.

BARCODE ANALYSIS
Stephanie Robey

Monday and Wednesday from three to five
The library is my authority.
Tuesday and Thursday from two to four
I die of boredom with little reward.

The shelves are tall and claustrophobic.
I am slow and unproductive.
It is more boring than a lecture,
But it’s a job, it pays, and I need money.

Among the books
I am alone.
The movements of my hands
Are automatic.
Dust and decay
On books that I don’t read.
Am I cracked?
While I work, I dream.

KUKAVICA
Juraj Nevjestić

Ja volim snijeg. Ali
grad ne voli.
Od njegovog teškog,
paćeničkog života,
ružno ljeto je najlakše.
Za njega je ljepota—
bolest.
Kad njemu mećava dođe
da mu malo život uskrati,
treba mu samo
dva,
tri,
četiri dana
da po ulicama
sav gar povrati.

CRYBABY
Juraj Nevjestić

I love snow. But
the city doesn’t.
In his difficult,
insufferable life,
ugly summer is easiest.
For him prettiness is—
a sickness.
When blizzards come
to threaten his health
he needs only
two,
three,
four days
to spew on the streets
his putrid black wealth.
**WHEN I FEEL UNHAPPY**  
*David Luke Pritchard*

Are you unhappy?  
Do you sometimes feel alone?

When I'm unhappy,  
I pet my cats  
A-woo-woo-woo!

Friends, friends, friends  
Will forget you  
Girlfriends will leave you  
With cats you will never be alone!

To be forever loved  
The answer is feline  
Buy your happiness  
It's the American way!

With cats you will never be alone!

Friends, friends, friends  
The secret to happiness  
Is to think like a cat  
Take that frown and  
Turn it upside down!

With cats  
You will never be alone!
USPOMENA
_Alicia Caillier_

Kao uspomena bledi
duh koji je živeo u njoj ostaje sa mnom.
Njenu dugu plavu kosu talasa vetar
Njen smeh zvoni u ušima.

Refren:
Njen život je bio tako kratak, ali on je dodirnuo srca mnogih
Nikada neću zaboraviti lepotu njenog lica
moja prijateljica, moja sestra, moja Emma.

Ona se vozila svojim automobilom svuda
Ko je znao da se jedne noći ona neće vratiti kući
nesreća proganja moje snove
bol živi u meni.

Refren:
Njen život je bio tako kratak,
ali on je dodirnuo srca mnogih
Nikada neću zaboraviti lepotu njenog lica
moja prijateljica, moja sestra, moja Emma.

MEMORY
_Alicia Caillier_

As memories fade,
hers spirit stays with me.
Her long blonde hair waves in the wind
Her laughter rings in my ears

Chorus:
Her life was so short,
but she touched the hearts of many
I will never forget the beauty of her face
My friend, my sister, my Emma

She drove her car everywhere
Who knew that one night she would not return home
accident haunts my dreams
the pain stays with me

Chorus:
Her life was so short,
but she touched the hearts of many
I will never forget the beauty of her face
My friend, my sister, my Emma.
Boredom, my eternal brother
Resides with me on the seventh floor.
What shall I do? That, I do not know.
All I know is that I don't want to exercise.
Perhaps laziness is the true name of my friend.
But what rhymes with friend? Ah, that's it—circle.

Chorus:
At least I have rhyming, that's what is important.
Here it's hot, but outside it's cold.
I don't want to sing and I don't want to dance.
Were I a tiger, I would tigrate.

What must I do so alone in my room?
Everything I think about seems so ghastly.
Excuse me, please, for missing class.
It was a catastrophe what happened between us.
My alarm clock didn’t ring, and I slept on,
While you had a lesson, and somebody said something in Serbian.

Chorus:
At least I have rhyming, that’s what is important.
Here it’s hot, but outside it’s cold.
I don’t want to sing and I don’t want to dance.
Were I a tiger, I would tigrate.
When I went to New Trbovlje, all of my dreams were realized. The first thing I did after landing was notice how big and beautiful the planet was. Factories, broken cars, garbage all around; it was as if I had returned to my childhood. The people there didn't look like earthlings, but they behaved as if they were. The males farted and cursed, the females wept and smacked their children, and the gypsies played splendid music. There were neither shrubs, nor trees, but there was beer and brandy. The aliens knew how to drink. All of them liked to dance and fight when they were drunk. We ate extremely well there. The females cooked for us—baked sausages, fish, sauerkraut, pancakes—and after meals, they would bring us figs, apples, and strawberries. I liked New Trbovlje and I was very sad when the time came for me to leave. I will never forget my trip to that planet and perhaps I will return some time in the future.

I landed on Laila last week and stayed to explore. Laila is the third planet from the planet in a different galaxy. It's warm and has lots of bush and flowers. I haven't seen animals but I think that animals must exist because there are oceans and water falls, and the planet is close to the sun. The grass is blue, and shrubs are red. The flowers are multi-colored. The ocean is green and beautiful. The waterfall is 40 miles tall. I hope that the animals won't kill me. There's oxygen on the planet so I don't need a spacesuit. I'm able to walk without problem because there's gravity on the planet. Fortunately I have enough food so that I don't need to look for food on the planet. I can't eat plants.

Ja mislim da je najvažnije da su ljudi interesantni ako bi oni hteli da budu moji drugovi. Kad pričamo o nečemu važnom, čini mi se da su interesantni ljudi oni koji znaju mnogo u vezi sa politikom i što se dešava oko nas. Ponekad mi govorimo ružne stvari o drugim ljudima koje ne volimo i to je meni mnogo zabavno. Ja mislim da je jedan čovek rekao da je najlakši način da se slažes sa nekom drugom osobom je da imate iste neprijatelje.

Sve u svemu, drugovi moraju biti interesantni ljudi koji obožavaju duge razgovore sa mnom. Ja sam srećan što imam bar deset drugova koji imaju strpljenja slušati mene bog zna koliko dugo vremena.

Friends are very important in life. They are always there when you need them. I love to talk about everything with my friends. To me, that is the most interesting thing I could do. Just spending 5 hours in conversation with my friends would be enjoyable. Our long discussions take place frequently, at least a few times a week. I believe that the transfer of ideas in between me and my friends is the most important thing because I learn so much from them. I am sad that Stefan does not like to talk much. However I have many other friends who do like to talk with me. I have a friend from Ethiopia who likes to talk with me. When we speak we joke a lot. We also talk a lot about politics.

I think the most important thing is for people to be interesting if they wish to be my friend. When we speak about something important, I feel that the most interesting people are those who know a lot about politics and what is happening around us. Sometimes we say mean things about others whom we do not like, which for me is lots of fun. I think a person told me once that the best way to get along with someone else is to have a common enemy.

All in all friends shave to be interesting people who like to have long discussions with me. I am very happy that I have at least ten friends who can listen to me patiently for God knows how long.
ЦИФРА 108А57Г, DLP
Давид Лука Причхард

Ми смо ишли ка планети цифра 108А57Г (тakoђe познатој као “Нови Нови Сад”), послани од стране међупланетског већa за изванредне облике живота. Наша мисија је била сакупљати друга живa створења, нарочито биље. Плавe алгe покривају већину површине планете. Алгe миришу као мокар пас, али срећoм, укус је као најбољe српскo пивo. Атмосфера планете се састоји од десет процента кисеоника и деведесет процента гаса смеха. Требало нам је два месеца дуже да завршимо нашу мисију јер смо се много забављали. Има само једна врста животињa на планети, мала животиња званa “ипупупупупупу.” Ипупупупупупу, назвaно за звук коjу чини кад je некo шкaљa, спава целi дан и сличан јe животињи сa земљe познатоj као лори. Стварно, oва планетa јe мало осамљенa...

MOJA DOMOVINA
Maria Bankova

Moja domovina je prazna.
Nisu više tamo moji prijatelji
Prazno je dečje igraliste
Smeh mojeg detinjstva
Nikad više neće da se čuje tamo.
I more je prazno jer moji prijatelji ne čekaju
tamo izlazak sunca.
Oni većeraju u luksuznim restotanima
Negde na zapadu.
Ali onda piju da zaborave
Svoje more i zlatni izlazak morskog sunca.
A ja pijem prljavu vodu velikog jezera
I nadam se da ću pamptiti kakav je bio ukus
slane vode
Pri izlasku sunca.

NUMBER 108А57G, DLP
David Luke Pritchard

We went to planet number 108A57G (also known as “Novi Novi Sad”), sent by the Interplanetary Counsel on Alien Life Forms. Our mission was to collect strange living things, especially plants. Blue algae covers most of the surface of the planet. The algae smells like wet dog, but, fortunately, it tastes like the best beer in Serbia. The atmosphere of the planet consists of ten percent oxygen and ninety percent laughing gas. It was necessary to stay two months longer to complete our mission because we were having too much fun. There is only one other species of living thing on the planet, a small animal called “Ipupupupupupu.” Ipupupupupupu, named for the sound it makes when tickled, is similar to the animal on earth known as the lori. Indeed, this planet is a little lonely...

MY HOMELAND
Maria Bankova

My homeland is empty—My friends are no longer there.
The playground is empty
The laughter of my childhood will no longer swing there again.
The sea is empty—My friends no longer wait for the sun to rise.
They eat at fancy restaurants,
Somewhere in the West.
But they drink to forget
The sea and its golden Sun.
As for me, I drink the dirty water of the great lake
And dream to remember the salty waters of the sunrise.
Numerous cinematic works in 1990’s Serbia share a striking resemblance to each other. While their plotlines differ, these works illustrate a thematic trend in 1990’s Serbian cinematography. Namely, the films center on the lives of male protagonists enveloped in a pattern of senseless and disturbing violence, brutality, and illicit activities as they try to cope with the disorder and disillusion of war-torn and post-war Yugoslavia. Two such films are *Wounds* (1998) and *Cabaret Balkan* (1998). Both of these works follow the lives of male characters whose sense of frustration and hopelessness in a Yugoslavia plagued by war, social disintegration, and chaos propels them to engage in violent behavior. Economic hardship—including high unemployment and inflation—a rise in nationalism, and an influx of refugees, among other factors, profoundly destabilize these characters’ sense of manhood, leading them to take refuge in violence. In this sense, directors Srdjan Dragojevic and Goran Paskaljevic respectively show the close interconnectedness between social instability and wounded masculinity in a male-centered society. The crisis of the male hero mirrors the crisis of the country at large, allowing the directors to explore and come to terms with the origins of violence and disorder in the former Yugoslavia. Through an analysis of scenes and theoretical arguments, this paper will analyze the theme of frustrated masculinity in *Wounds* and *Cabaret Balkan*, showing that frustrated masculinity is deeply rooted in the social disorder of 1990’s Serbia. Through the lens of male characters, both *Wounds* and Cabaret Balkan demonstrate, and try to come to grips with, the tragic causes and consequences of a decaying and hopeless society.

The film *Wounds* explores the theme of frustrated masculinity by following the lives of two disillusioned young men in early 1990’s Serbia attracted to a dark and dangerous world as the society around them disintegrates. Through skillful juxtaposition of images, Director Dragojevic establishes a link between the chaotic situation in Serbia and the behavior of Pinki and Shvaba, the movie’s main characters. For example, as the film opens, Pinki and Shvaba are mocking and taunting their nerdy friend, Dijabola, a scene closely followed by images of rowdy street demonstrations in Belgrade. Similarly, the camera alternates between images of Pinki engaging in sexual gratification in the bathroom of his home and images of Serbian tanks moving into Croatia shown on a national newscast. In other words, Dragojevic demonstrates how the actions of these young men closely mirror the political and social context of early 1990’s Serbia. This point is further reinforced by the situation of Pinki’s father, Stojan, a former Tito supporter who spends his days watching television reports about sanctions and war, shouting obscenities at his neighbors, and sitting at home, unable to provide hope or a good example for his son. By contrast with his father, Pinki is fascinated by his neighbor, Kure, a local criminal who offers an alternative way of coping with the social disintegration. As Shvaba says in one scene, “our only hero was our neighbor from across [Kure].” In a society in the midst of upheaval, “their parents do not have an answer to war, chaos, misery, and ideological madness; society is powerless before its own disintegration, and so the young men go with the flow” (Kronja Film Criticism). In other words, when it seems that there is no alternative to violence, that there is no hope or solution to the social disorder, Pinki and Shvaba idolize a man who teaches them to care only for “money, guns, drugs, and media fame” (ibid.). The only path to self-realization and the affirmation of masculinity becomes violence. The crisis of the male he-
Dijabola shows, is rooted in the crisis of the larger Serbian society, a patriarchal society that provides men with little choice but violence as a way to maintain a sense of manliness.

Pinki and Shvaba typify the wounded male figure that resorts to violence as a way to cope with a failing social order. From a theoretical perspective, Wounds explores the phenomenon of masculinity in crisis, as well as its origins and repercussions. As Ivana Kronja argues, Wounds is a film in which “the breakdown of the social order and the imposition of corrupt, violent strategies of survival are predominantly seen through the drama of male heroes who cannot respond to a presupposed patriarchal role. If they are very young [as Pinki and Shvaba], they respond to the situation by participating in violent rituals that compensate for the loss of male pride due to the lack of social affirmation and loss of hope” (Kronja Film). This is exactly what happens in Wounds as Pinki and Shvaba, clearly affected by the decaying society in which they live, engage in acts of humiliation, sexual gratification and violence. Kure’s world of violence and sex offers an escape for Pinki and Shvaba and an opportunity to feel powerful, proud and cool. For example, in one scene, Shvaba repeatedly hits his head against Kure’s fist, bleeding profusely, to which Pinki says, “Beatings can be good sometimes because you can check if you’re a man.” Similarly, both Pinki and Shvaba engage in sexual gratification with a prostitute and they mock their reserved friend Dijabola when he initially cannot do the same. Repeated sexual activity and beatings are rituals through which Pinki and Shvaba constantly reaffirm their masculinity. In a society where their ability to perform masculinity in conventional ways—such as through economic stability—is compromised, Pinki and Shvaba enter the life of violence to salvage their male pride. Both characters almost always carry guns in their hands, rejoice in committing robberies, and kill with ease and satisfaction. In one scene, they are swimming naked in a pool full of money as they brutally kill the man whose house they have broken into. In yet another scene, they torture a man by placing his hand in a pot of boiling water as one of them says, “It’s better to torture others than oneself.” These acts of humiliation, torture and cruelty are Pinki and Shvaba’s way of projecting their sense of pain, loss, and frustration onto others and thereby re-assuring themselves of their masculinity. In these moments, they feel powerful in a society that is powerless; the life of gangsters and drug dealers, a life of easy and fast riches, is an attractive alternative in a society mired in poverty and hopelessness. Pinki and Shvaba’s actions seem senseless and disturbing, yet Dragojevic shows that these actions are propelled by the characters’ fear of weakness, their emotional wounds, and their sense of disillusionment. After his father dies, Pinki says, “Torture helped me forget my stupid dead father.” He is heartbroken by his father’s death yet he holds back tears because he says, “I wanted to cry, and I did cry, but you won’t see that. I’m not a wimp.” To cry is to be weak and effeminate; to hold back tears, on the other hand, is to illustrate masculine fortitude. Although these instances of emotional expression are rare in the movie, Dragojevic nonetheless utilizes them to make Pinki and Shvaba profoundly tragic characters whose brutal actions—senseless as they may appear on the surface—are driven by profound inner conflict and pain.

In a mostly patriarchal society, social disorder breeds violence that becomes cyclical and self-destructive as males try to adjust to their changing position in the social order. In addition to killing others, Pinki and Shvaba also engage in mutual and self torture, testing each other’s courage and releasing anger and pain. During a guest appearance on the show “The Asphalt Pulse,” Shvaba shoots himself in the leg, screaming in excruciating pain. Similarly, in a bizarre ending to the film, Pinki and Shvaba engage in a duel at the cemetery, inflicting wounds upon one another and ultimately dying as Dijabola shoots
them. These wounds may be physical, but they also symbolize the emotional wounds of these characters. The scene confirms again the “self-referential, self-destructive macro-world of Serbian reality and micro-world of these young criminals, who, in the absence of any other criteria, chose violence to fulfill their lives” (Kronja Film). On a deeper level, the ability to inflict and withstand pain symbolizes the release of frustration and the performance of masculinity in the absence of alternatives. *Wounds* is a story about the “defeated, horrified, and terrified individual, who does not see any exit from the abyss” (ibid.). The change that Dijabola undergoes in the film illustrates the inescapability of violence in this society. An introverted, nerdy young man, Dijabola is the only character who has the potential to remain unscathed by the social disintegration and serve as a model of a different kind of masculinity. Yet, in the end, Dijabola himself is drawn to violence in avenging the murder of his father. Dijabola’s loss of innocence—symbolized among other things by his shooting of Pinki and Shvaba—illustrates the impossibility of resorting to anything but violence to survive as a male in this social disorder. Both a cause of and a response to the predicament of these male characters, violence is cyclical and inescapable.

Similarly, the film *Cabaret Balkan* (1998) follows the lives of male figures whose violent behavior serves as a means to cope with a disintegrating social order. Unlike *Wounds*, which focuses on two male figures, this film centers on the lives of multiple protagonists whose lives become intertwined through a web of violence and brutality. *Cabaret Balkan* depicts 1990’s Serbia through the stories and actions of male heroes whose behavior is inextricably linked to the state of the society in which they live. As in *Wounds*, this is a highly dysfunctional and hopeless society, one where law and order are weak and where every man must fend for himself. People are fighting for scarce resources such as gasoline, refugees have arrived from neighboring states, and unemployment is high. In other words, the story of these male characters is situated in a “war-torn country in which the basic laws of civilized behavior have eroded in a climate of all-consuming suspicion, hatred, and vengeance” (Holden). According to Marko Živković, such social conditions constitute the context for a crisis of masculinity as males resort to aggressive behavior to reassert their manliness. Živković identifies a number of factors that trigger a crisis of masculinity, among them, fragmented and arbitrary enforcement of laws, resurgence in nationalism, and economic displacement. Combined, these factors ‘depress’ the “male pole of the gender balance respective to some sort of stable socialist-era position of the ‘gender relationship gage,’” leading to rhetoric and actions that seek to recover some “ideal, proper, traditional” masculinity (Živković 260). In the context of the former Yugoslavia, war and economic instability led many men to express their sense of disempowerment through rage and violence directed against weaker or more effeminate members of society, such as women and gays. Such displays of violence were a means to perform masculinity in the absence of conventional means such as serving as breadwinners.

Živković’s theoretical argument is illustrated in a number of scenes in *Cabaret Balkan*. As in *Wounds*, male characters engage in acts of senseless brutality, humiliation, and pain that mirror and express their inner conflicts, frustration, and wounds. For example, when a youngster named Alexander crashes into the car of another character named Jovo, the latter knows he cannot rely on law and authority to resolve the problem. The police show up, but not much gets resolved as Alexander escapes. As a result, Jovo and a friend of his violently trash the apartment of Alexander’s father, breaking everything in sight, including a picture of Alexander’s mother. Jovo’s sense of frustration at his predicament and his awareness of the fragility of the social order, propels him to take matters into his own hands and seek revenge through vio-
lence. In yet another scene, two long-time friends throw punches at one another in a boxing ring and confess instances in which they have betrayed one another, including sleeping with one another’s wives and killing each other’s dogs. The “punches escalate in fury, with the conflict ending in the shower as one attacks the other with a broken beer bottle and slashes him to death” (Holden).

This scene is very similar to the very last scene in *Wounds* where Pinki and Shvaba wound one another in a duel that tests their courage and pain tolerance. In both instances, male friends find pleasure and relief in insulting, attacking and injuring one another because, as they engage in these activities, they release their frustration and prove their manliness; at the same time, these scenes reveal the emotional turmoil of these male heroes who resort to violence as a way to compensate for their displaced masculinity. As in *Wounds*, violence in *Cabaret Balkan* is a coping mechanism for the male figure who finds himself having to renegotiate his role in a disintegrating society. Director Paskaljević shows how, in the absence of stability in a male-centered society, men search for ways to regain their sense of masculinity, and such a situation creates a “fertile ground” for aggressive behavior (Živković 259). As such, *Cabaret Balkan* is an apt illustration of the theoretical arguments made by Živković.

*Cabaret Balkan* places an emphasis on the use of humiliation as a means to feel powerful, in control, and masculine. In one scene, a crazed young man enters a bus that is 15 minutes late because the bus driver is drinking coffee. Outraged by this, the young man terrorizes the passengers of the bus with a knife, focusing in particular on a young lady named Ana whom he forces to open her legs as another man looks on. Paskaljević clearly illustrates that the source of this young man’s anger is the situation of society at large, the lack of responsibility, punctuality, consistency and order. As one of the female passengers comments, “in every other country this would be considered bad but for us it’s become normalcy.” In other words, chaos has become the norm in this social order and the rage of this young man is his response to this frustrating situation. As in *Wounds*, sexual gratification and humiliation in this particular scene in *Cabaret Balkan* is a mechanism for performing masculinity and exercising some type of control over others. Sexual manipulation becomes a way for a man to restore a sense of control in a society largely out of control. Like Živković, Elaine Enarson attributes this kind of behavior by men to social decay. Specifically, in times of social unrest and crisis, “some men may feel threatened and powerless, unable to enjoy the fruits of reenhanced, institutionalized male supremacy” (Albanese 1003). As a result, men engage in violent behavior because it allows them to recuperate power, pride and control. Weakness is seen as a feminine quality so males look for ways to reestablish their power in a society that has left them economically and otherwise powerless. In this context, women are especially susceptible to becoming victims because, as weaker members of society, men can overpower them and humiliate them and in doing so restore their sense of male superiority. We see this in one of the last scenes in *Cabaret Balkan* where Ana and her boyfriend George fall into the hands of a drug dealer, Topi, and his sidekick. “While Topi, laughing wildly, bites Ana’s face and breaks her fingers one by one, his cohort holds a gun to George’s head and forces him to sing a Macedonian folk song” (Holden). This is a profoundly humiliating situation, both for Ana and her boyfriend George as he witnesses the rape of his girlfriend. But for Topi, the brutal violence he inflicts on Ana and his meticulous humiliation of her as her boyfriend looks on is an incredibly empowering act. He is in full control of the situation and finds pleasure in inflicting pain on others. Ana’s shame and pain feed Topi’s sense of power, allowing him to cope with his inner, wounded masculinity.

In the 1990’s, numerous Serbian films have explored the theme of wounded masculi-
ity, showing the close interconnectedness between an unstable society and a disillu-
sioned and aggressive male population. As his paper has shown, films like Wounds and Cabaret Balkan are replete with scenes of male-perpetrated acts of violence, sexual hu-
miliation and brutality. The male characters in these movies are enveloped in a cycle of violence from which it is difficult to escape because these men have few alternatives to perform their masculinity and preserve their sense of manliness. Their response to social violence is to commit violence themselves because it is sometimes the only option they have to make sense of the senselessness that surrounds them. As such, both of these films are profoundly tragic, illustrating how the predicament of the male figure mirrors the predicament of society, thereby attempting to explain and understand what happened to pre-war and post-war Serbian society.
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MOJE DVJE RUKE
Stefan Mitrović


Ruke su sredstvo kojim se ljudi uklapaju u svojem svijetu. Čovjekov najvažniji i najkorisniji alat su ruke jer sa njima čovjek može da napravi svoj život. Ruke zagrađuju i lome, popravljaju i kvarne, drže i nosi, pišu i slikaju i tako dalje. Da čovjek nije stvorio ruke koje sad imamo, da nam služe kao noge i ništa više, ljudi bi i dalje živjeli kao životinje. Ne bi postojala civilizacija i ne bi bilo razvijeno društvo; samo bi živjeli za slijedeći obrok i za parenje.


MY TWO HANDS
Stefan Mitrović

Where would I be without my hands? What would I do without them? Surely, I would not be able to do anything of importance, let alone be able to handle everyday chores. I would wake up every morning, get out of bed, go into the bathroom, and realize that I could not even wash my own face. I would not be able to get the rheum out of my eyes and my hair would be left uncombed. Returning to my room, I would realize that I would not even be able to dress myself. A mere twenty minutes into the morning and my day would already be ruined! How could I leave my room and go outside if I am naked and unwashed?

Hands are the medium by which people connect to the world. Mankind’s most important and most useful tools are the hands because with hands, a person can create their life. Hands build and destroy, fix and demolish, attack and defend, hold and carry, write and draw, etc. If people never developed the hands that we now possess, if they were to serve us as our legs do and nothing else, we would have continued to live as animals. Civilizations and advanced societies would not have existed; our lives would have only consisted of searching for our next meal and finding a mate.

I am grateful for my two hands. They are strong, yet gentle. When I am working out in the weight room, they are powerful enough to grasp iron weights and lift them into the air. However, when I see a young lady who I care for, my hands are also capable of hugging her and gently holding her soft hands. Similarly, my hands understand how to hug my parents when I visit home. These same hands which know how to be strong and mighty in the weight room or on the football field, also know how caressing and gentle to be with the individuals that I love.
РОВИЊ
За Диди
Toma Longinović
Нема мира у прелакој самоћи
И замору од беса незнања и
Утеше коже уместо наше љубави
Скривене од магле и отрова
Само теби још могу да се јавим
Као другоме који то не сме бити
Свежег погледа на светове прошло
У барци над плочницима пловиш
Људи у магли и онда само магла
И призор недодирљивих зидина
Замишљена склискост назирања
У губитку влаге и данима светлости
Можда стварним у тек времену
Ниси само град соли и камена
Већ и тела жедних једно другог
У пролазу према мору и бескрају
прегладних душа светлости наше

BOJA PROLAZNOSTI
За Dijanu
Toma Longinović
Jad prvoga daha bez tvoje topline
U carstvu sam sivom preziveo danas
Kopneo za telom divnim sred praznine
Zamisljao srecu vec rodjenu za nas
U snovima divnim sanjao blizine
Kroz dodire lake a potom i jake
U dubini tvojoj ja vec slutim zvezdu
I vreme bez kraja za zivote nase
Dok sanjam o nekom savrsenom gnezdu
Sto dalje od ljudi sto reze i plase
U otvore bica najdivnijeg uvek
Ulazim da nadjem bez oblika silu
Sad nalazim razlog da ti zivim dovek
dok posvuda cutim ljubav tvoju milu
u belini leda gde gubi se covek
I always carried a bottle of water with me; it is a written rule, law, that I follow. Yet this isn’t just an ordinary water bottle, I this one use to the point of exhaustion, until I am forced to replace it. I relentlessly refill them, those beloved plastic bottles. I can even admit that this has passed all normal standards and reached the point of obsession. It began that one time when I refilled a bottle after buying it, then there was the second time, and then the third, and so on and so forth. All this was under my mother’s supervision. She is one of those fretters, or as they call it here: health nuts, so she’s scared of cancer from plastic bottles, washing machines, and un-sanitary cans. But now that I discovered that they sell water at the library next to the dorms, I adore buying a liter of bottled water, and I can’t help, but keep them for at least a week. By being distanced from my mom’s constant warning I was able to find my own system. Usually I know that it’s time to replace a bottle one I detect that mechanical, industrial scent. I love that taste and how it represents that I’ve really put that huge, perfect bottle to use. And just for this steady ritual, I only fill it in certain areas—one the second floor of Reynold’s Club, the weakly flowing fountain in the Language Center, or in the bathroom in my room. I suppose this sounds rather unhygienic, but I doubt that the filtration system of Fiji or Voss companies is much better than mine. You must understand, however, that it’s not the water that’s important, nor the filtration, nor the entire process. None of that interests me. What attracts me is this concept of filling and emptying. As the day passes, I expe-
niti me taj cijeli proces interesira. Već, vrlo jednostavno privlači me pojam i djelo punjenja i pražnjavanja. Kako prolazi dan, tako i ja skupljam događaje, misli, stvari koje mi smetaju, nešto što me nasmijе, i slicno. Onako ot prilike ocjenim koliko mogu sažeti i stegnuti svaku stvar da mi stane u bocu, i tako stalno skupljam stvari sa sobom.

Na primer:

Dok profesor Narcis se smješi sam svojim vicevima, moram se nečim zabavljati da ne bi odkrio moju duboku mržnju za njegove anegdote i samoljublje. Sigurno bi me moje grimase i Sarkastični osmjesi odali da nisam imala svoje boce da me zabavljaju. A pošto Profesor Narcis veoma često zaustavlja predavanje da nam nešto, tobož, važno pripovijedi, ja isto tako, veoma brzo ispraznim svoju bocu. Dok nam prosipa iz šupljog u prazno o putovanjima u Afganistan, vrijeme kada je predavao našim američkim ratnim pilotima ili onaj jedan put kad se je sreo sa osobom x... i moja voda tako gutljaj po gutljaj nestaje. I ta cijela zamorna i uspavljiva tirada provlači se cijelih 50 minuta a sa tim i moje ko usporeni snimak punjenje boce. Ali umjesto gutljaje vode svaki put kada Profesor Narcis pogrešno izgovori riječ „nuclear“ ja svaku tu riječ nježno smještam u svoju bocu. Ruku na srce, to se mnogo više ne đešava jer smo već prešli preko nuklearnog naoružanja i Hladnog rata i sad se kaljamo po rogovima Iraq-a. Ali priče, te njegove priče, su neprekidne i s tim moje prelijevanje, nalijevanje i punjenje teče svojim tokom. Da, do momenta dok mi ne odvrati pažnju moj drug-idiot par redova ispred mene koji stalno nalazi nove You-Tube spotove pa se glupavo smije i nastoji da priguši svoje smijanje. Netripeljivost za svaki video spot koji ga nasmije je toliko velika da ne mogu dopustiti da slobodno plove kroz internet mrežu pa ih složeni uхватim i uguiram u svoju bocu da se vesele sa debilnim izgovaranjem važnih riječi i jednako glupim pričama starog, umišljenog profesora. Ili nekada kada čujem zvukove kroz prozor odšarafim kapak da ih uхватim. Tako radim i

For Example:

While professor Narcissus sits there laughing at his own jokes, I must amuse myself with something so that I won't reveal the extent of deep seeded hatred for his anecdotes and ego. I am certain that if I didn't have my bottles, my grimaces and sarcasm would have revealed it, and since Professor Narcissist often stops to talk to us about something, ostensibly important, I also very quickly finish my bottle. As he rambles on about his lackluster travels to Afghanistan, like when he trained our American military pilots—or when he met with person X, I watch my water disappear, sip by sip. And the whole tedious tirade runs on for 50 minutes with that and my seemingly slowed process of filling the bottle. But instead drinking water every time Professor Narcissus utters the word “nuclear” I take each word and store it in the bottle. I promise, however, that it does not happen as much as before since we've finished with the nuclear weapons and the Cold War and are onto the filthy trenches Iraq’s. But his story, that is where my constant process of filling, emptying, and refilling comes into play. Yes, to the moment when my attention turns to my idiotic friend a few rows in front of me who always finds new You-Tube videos, which he foolishly smiles at as he tries to stifle his laughter. I can't contain my revulsion for each music video at which he smiles so widely. I can't allow him to freely fly through the internet. So with a sweet catch, I fit them into my bottle to moronically where he can say more important words and tell equally foolish old stories. Or sometimes, when I hear noise from outside, I twist my lid to catch them, along with other things that I pick up along the way, until I get to another filling station. I like to analyze how fragmented my days are, or more specifically how fragmented my thoughts are between
sa drugim stvarima koje pokupim usput dok ne dođem do jedne od stanica za punjenje. Volim analizirati kako su mi dani fragmentirani ili konkretnije kako su mi misli fragmentirane po tim stanicama i punjenjima. Koliko mi sudbina, ako se tako može reći, dá da pokupim i nosim. Nekada su naslagane uspomene na časove, nekada moji misli, spiskovi, obzervacije. Koliko sam soli taj dan posula po hrani, s koliko sečera sam taj dan kafe pokvarila, salvete potrošene po restoranima, sve stolice na koje sam taj dan i ovih svojih 20 godina sjedila, boje kojima sam lakirala nokte, kada pomislim na prijatelje koje sam imala i zaboravila, stvari koje me plaše, koliko se znam naljutiti, koliko sam puta mjerila otkucaj srca, koliko sam puta udahnula i gdje su sve moji reciklirani uzdasi dopreli. Neprekidni su spiskovi/liste ali vazda se mjenjaju.

Mislim da mi je ova navika zamjena za dnevnik ili neki drugi izlaz svakidanjašnjim mislima.

Mama kaže da će mi uskoro kupiti metalnu, Sigg, bocu. Al, ne znam da li mi to baš treba. Nisu baš veličine litre a i ne mogu ih mnogo mjenjati.

those sops and refills. What a fate, if it can be called that, to pick all of that up and carry it. Sometimes it’s memories piled up from class, my thought, lists, observations… how much I salted my food that day, how much sugar used to prepare my coffee, napkins wasted at restaurants, all the chairs that I’ve sat on today and in the past 20 years of my life, all of the times I’ve measured my hear rate, the colors I’ve painted my nails the friends I’ve lost and forgotten, and all the times I’ve sighed. Constantly making lists, each varying.

I think this habit is my substitute for a diary or some other release for daily thoughts and frustrations.

My mom tells me she’ll soon buy me one of those metal Sigg bottles, but I don’t know if I really need it. They aren’t quite the size of a liter and can’t really change I very often.
NE NEDOSTAJEŠ MI
Ni Qian

Ja sam sedela u mojem autu kada sam te videla
Nisam mogla da vidim tvoje lice, ali sam videla kako xodas.
Kako mogu da zaboravim kako šetaš?
Odmah sam se sakrila da ne možeš da me vidiš.
Nisam htela da me vidiš i onda da odeš a da me ne primetiš.
Moje srce bi se slomilo.
Ležala sam na sedištu i samo sam mogla da čujem otkucaje mog srca.
Kada zatvorim oči mogu da nas vidim
Zajedno opet,
Ruka u ruci.
Ne nedostaješ mi!

I DON'T MISS YOU
Ni Qian

I am sitting in my car when I saw you
I can't see your face, but I saw the way you walk.
How can I forget the way you walk?
Immediately I hide so you can't see me.
I don't want you to see me and then leave without acknowledgment.
My heart would break.
When I close my eyes, I can see us
Together again
Hand in hand.
I don't miss you at all.

HEJ TAMO...
Michael Połczyńska

Hej tamo negde iznad crne vode
Sedi na konju Kozak mladi
Lepo se pozdravio sa devojkom
Ali isto tako sa Ukrajinom.

Hej, hej, hej...
Sokolovi bežite sa brda, šuma, polja i dolina
Zvone zvona, zvone zvona
Moja ševo, moje stepe...
Krasna ševa moja mala
A ja na drugoj strani danju i noću
Žudim za njom.

HEY THERE...
Michael Połczyńska

Hey there, somewhere above the black water
The young Cossack sits on a horse
He nicely greeted his girl
But also his Ukraine.

Hey, hey, hey...
Falcons run away from the hills, forests, fields and valleys
Bells ring, bells ring,
My birds, my steppe...
My beautiful little lark
And I, on the other side, day and night
I yearn for her.

Postoji mnogo bića na planeti. Jedan ima ljudski oblik ali samo sa jednom rukom, u sredini su prsa i ima jednu nogu; noga i ruka odašiljaju strele. Trče brže od konja jer trče poskakujući na jednoj nozi i kad su umorni saviju se u krug kao kotač. Drugo biće ima ljudski oblik osim što su im noge kao u bika sa kopitima. Iako imaju ljudske glave, lica su im kao u pasa.

To je čudna planeta. Ovi opisi su preuzeti iz 13. stoljeća o ljudima koji su živeli u carstvu Mongola.

Not far from us there is a planet called Little Bankovina. On this planet there are many beings, but they are not aware of their place in the universe. They think they live on the big, red apple skin. Little Bankovina has four months, which people think are the four bunches of grapes. On Little Bankovini There is a legend that says that will only end when a worm that lives in the heart of the apple eats its way to the surface.

People there are often angry and impatient. They are also dishonest and insincere. At first, the they appear cunning, but in the end, they are like a scorpion's sting. It is impossible to describe all their traits because they are so many.

There are many creatures on the planet. One has a human form but with only one hand. In the middle is the chest attached to one leg. The foot and hand shoots arrows. They run faster than horses because leap on one leg. And when they are tired they curl them in a circle like a wheel.

Another being has a human form except that their feet are like those of a bull. Although they have a human head, their faces are like a dog's.

It's a strange planet. These descriptions are taken from the 13th century, from the people who lived in the realm of the Mongols.
Once upon a time there was an family in the ancient Austrian monarchy that was very poor, and not in the spiritual sense. In particular, Maximilian, the father of the family, provided his children with everything that he could. This wasn't an easy task given that his wife had died in the earlier years of their marriage. When they had food for all, Max went without bread. When it was raining, Max gave the single umbrella to his kids. When they had to move from Bohemia to Styria, Max didn't take any of his things apart from his violin, so that his children could bring more toys.

In fact, Max's family went very long without a home, always looking for new jobs. Max worked in various professions—as a waiter, chimney sweeper, xylographer, and even as a coffin maker! Yet, he always seemed to be missing something. He couldn't give his all because other things interested him, things apart from the work that sullied his hands.

For example, of the small number of people who knew him, each was well aware of the fact that Max was one of the greatest music lovers in the empire. Among friends, Max's family was noted for the fact that each individual was a talented violinist. Rarely, however was this talent shown in public.

They only went to a concert once, in Prague, but they didn't allow children to play then—even though Ana, Bojan, Fritz and John were more gifted than the majority of the best
When the concert promoter refused their request to play, they were brokenhearted. Max told them: “Don’t be sad, my young stars, there will be another time.”

They then moved to Graz after Max learned that a friend had opened a tavern and it was a difficult epoch in Prague for the unemployed. Unfortunately, in Graz it was no better. Although the rumor about the tavern was sure, there was no work to be found there. Max’s friend was so remorseful that he couldn’t help the family, but he didn’t even have enough money to sustain himself as it was. “How can I help them,” he wondered “if I did not eat any good schnitzel in the last 20 years?”

One evening, Max and his children sat across the street from an inn. Max had been very sad and little Ana wanted to help her dad. “Don’t cry, Dad,” the daughter told her father. “Let’s play a fun song together!”

Max and Ana’s brother laughed and unpacked their violins and began to play a Vivaldi concert. Soon a large group of people formed around them, circling them and in the audience was a random conductor from the Vienna Philharmonic. Once the performance came to an end the conductor said to Max: “Great! Incredible! Want to visit our academy before the season? We need a good violinist.”

Max could not believe their luck at possibly having found means to sponsor his family, eventually have food for his children, and even attain that dream that Max had always hoped for.

When they arrived at the Philharmonic Academy in Vienna, they were incredibly impressed by the wealth of the community. Vienna was larger than any of those cities in Moravia Galicia, Tyrol, Dalmatia, Bohemia, and Styria, where they had travelled in
nezgodno otvoriti usta, iako je godinama govorio njemački. Odmah bi mislili da gov-orim žargonom, mislio je.

Posle nekoliko nedelja saradnje sa orkestrom, Max i njegova mala djeca su se osjetili bolje među ostalim muzičarima. Iako su imali potpuno drugačiji životni put od tih sinova austrijskih bogataša, zaslužili su njihovo poštovanje, jer su bile jako dobri violinisti. Nitko od tih već dobro poznatih muzičara nije bio toliko darovit kao što su bili Ana, Bojan, Fritz, i Ivan i njihovim mladim go-dinama. I Maxa su sada smatrali najbolja violinista u cijeloj imperiji, mada Bečka javnost je do tada samo čula glasine jer sezona još uvijek nije počela.

Jednog dana pre početka sezone dirigent orkestra je pitao Maxa da li može govoriti privatno u njegovom kabinetu. Pun nade i optimizma je pogledao djecu njezinog oca. Svi ostali muzičari u orkestru su bili jako uzvišeni. Većina ih je samo razočarana otišla kući. Ti nabusiti članovi su potrošili cijeli večer u brauhausu u blizini. "Ko je to ikad čuo?" pitao je Glockenšpilista za pivom, "da će jedna obična porodica zauzeti mjesta od prve do pete violine!"

Max se nadao da će da će porodica konačno imati mjesto gdje može živjeti i raditi. Htio je školovati njegovu nepismenu djecu, i možda sebi naći novu ljubav posle svih tih godina osamljenosti.

"Sjedite" rekao je dirigent Maxu. "Kako Vam se dopada kod nas u Akademiji?"

"Nama je odlično ovdje, gospodine, hvala na pitanju!" odgovorio je Max odmah. "Mislim da bi djeca bila beznadežna osim dezerta koje ponekad imamo za ručak!"

Dirigent je dugo uzdisao gledajući kroz pro-zor na omiljenu pekaru. Kako mu je nedosta-jalo vremena kada nije bio odgovoran za čuvenu Akademiju i još je mogao sjediti uz Schillera i schwarwald torte.

His children didn’t know how to use a fork and knife, and they were being compared to he members of the orchestra’s family—all of whom were not only well-mannered, but spoke in the most wonderful German. Max felt uncomfortable opening his mouth, even if he’d spoken German all of his life. They’d automatically think I use too much jargon, he thought to himself.

After several weeks of working with the or-chestra, Max and his children found them-selves amidst the other more experienced musicians. Although they came from a com-pletely different life than those well-bred Austrian, they still deserved respect for their talent as violinists. None of those other well known and highly regarded musicians were so gifted as Ana, Bojan, Fritz and John, even with their young years. And, naturally, Max became regarded as the best violinist in the whole empire, although the Venetian people had only heard rumors of it since the season had yet to begin.

The day before the season began the orches-tra’s conductor asked to speak with Max in private. Full of hope and optimism he looked over at his children. All of the other musi-cians were very envious; many were so dev-astated that they headed home. The blunter members spent the entire night in a bar in the vicinity. “Who ever heard,” Glockensh-pilista asked, “of a fucking family taking the place of the first five violinists?!"

Max hoped to finally provide his family a place to live and work. Maybe even educate his illiterate children so that they could find themselves a new love after so many years of isolation.

“Sit,” the conductor said to Max. “Do you like it here at the Academy?”
“We are great here, Sir, thanks for asking!” Max immediately replied, “I think my children would have lived a hopeless life, save for those desserts we sometimes have for lunch.”

The conductor had spent much time lamenting those moments spent looking through a window of his favorite bakery. How he missed those times when he wasn’t charged with looking after the Academy, a time when he could sit and relax with a Schiller and Black Forest cake.

“I’m sorry, Maximilian, but we only have one place for the five of you,” the conductor admitted. “The others will have a different band the day after tomorrow.”

Max’s heart fell, how was he supposed to assign that spot to one of his kids? How would he survive a winter while unemployed? What would his late wife do if she were in his current position? The entire night his head was throbbing with those questions as the children slept, unaware that their dream would soon end. When they woke their father was no longer in his bedroom, but there were baked potatoes with a short note on the table. Ana was the one who tried to make something of her father’s handwriting.

The message read: “Dad found a job for himself and potatoes for you. I’m sorry, kids, but you have more time left on this planet than I do. I wish you luck, success and all the best without me. Please, leave the room as it was before your visit. Good bye.”


For the weekend, I went to the theater to see movies with my friends. We wanted to see a film about Sherlock Holmes. When arrived at the movies, I bought popcorn. I always like to buy some popcorn in the cinema! Then we went to watch a movie.

I thought that Sherlock Holmes was a very good movie. I always have liked the action and humor in the movie. Also, I think the actors were good. I especially like to watch Rachel McAdams. She is a very talented actress. My favorite character was Watson, who was a friend of Sherlock. He helped Sherlock many times. The film was very interesting and finally, Sherlock and Watson solved the mystery.

I’ve never read a Sherlock Holmes. But now, I want to read it! I’m curious to see another Sherlock film.
I am the first person who has walked on this planet. The planet is called Orange Planet because the air looks like an orange mist. When I got off of the spaceship, I discovered that the air has a different weight than on Earth. It is more dense. It is like a material halfway between air and water. It is not dense enough that one can swim up into the orange sky, but it is dense enough that walking is more difficult than on Earth. Still, I quickly accustomed myself to the bizarre and I began to look around. There are no trees or flowers here. They cannot survive in the dense air. There are rocks, however, and their colors are much brighter than on earth: bright purple, neon green and canary yellow. Despite these colors, many of the rocks appear gray because of the gray moss that grows on them. At first glance, I did not realize that it is moss. I believed that they are gray rocks, like on Earth. But then I saw a creature. This creature is half as tall as I am, but several times wider. It has strange claws on its feet that cling to the rough surface of the planet, and can be used to push it forward. This creature also has wings, but it cannot fly. Instead, it uses the wings to push off against the heavy air so that it can move quickly. The creature eats only the gray moss. It scratches the moss from the rocks with its claws and then eats the moss. I do not know if these are intelligent creatures. If these aliens have a language, it is very different from the language of my planet. In fact, I do not think that they communicate through sound like we do. They have no ears. Instead, I believe that they communicate using vibrations in the body that cause vibrations in the mist. They were not as fascinated by me as I was by them. They ignored me. This is good and bad. It is good because it allows me to explore without limits. However, it is bad because I would like to communicate with them.
BALKANSKA KNJIZEVNOST: Putovanje U Sebe
Phoebe Heyman

U romanu ‘Putovanje u sebe’ se radi o djevojci koja je radnik na socialističkom projektu u Rhodopima. Ona se zove Raina, i ima osamnaest godina na početku romana i dvadeset godina na kraju. U stvarnosti, također u knjizi se radi o temi identiteta—identitet Raine i identitet Bugarske države u ranim pedesetima.

Uglavnom, tema Rajninog identiteta je izražena kroz rod. Fokus romana je Rainino iztraživanje u njenoj ulozi žene u nekoliko različitih uloga u njenom životu. Na primer, po zanimanju ona je ‘spiderman,’ radnik koja se bavi sa čelikom, i ona je sama žena u tom zanimanju. Iako su druge žene na gradilištu, one nemaju tako opasna zanimanja, nego su električari ali vozači ili slično. Uz njeno neobično zanimanje, Raina također pokušava da se predstave kao osoba koja nije žena ni muškarac, s kratkom kosom i nošenjem farmerkama. Ona vrši eksperiment s svojom ženskom stranom kada je u vezi s arhitektom na gradilištu, Vladom Radevom. Raina i Vlad igraju tradicionalne uloge polova jednom s drugim; na primer njihovo veza počinje kad Raina ispegla Vladovu košulju.

Raina posebno traži svoj identitet metodom zamišljanja sebe u različitim situacijama. Ove perspektive također pokazuju druge identitete u romanu, naime identitete socialističkog mentaliteta države. Činjenica je da se roman bavi temom pola, i kako pol diktira perspektiva i okolnosti u državi, pokazuje važnost spoljašnog okoline u otkriću identiteta. Na primer, socialistički perspektiv za rod se u glavnom bavi s gledanjem žene i muškarca kao premce u poslu. Fokus na ljudskom kapacitetu kao radnici je izbacio tradicionalnu strukturu pola. U romanu, ovaj novi fokus pripremio Rainu za njeno putovanje u otkriće

BALKAN LITERATURE: Travelling Within One’s Self
Phoebe Heyman

The novel “Journey into One’s Self” is about a girl who is a worker in the Socialist project at Rhodope. She is called Raina and is eighteen years old at the beginning of the text and twenty at its end. The piece deals with the topic of identity—that of Raina and Bulgaria in the early fifties.

Mainly, the theme of Raina’s identity is expressed by ethnicity. The focus of the novel is Raina’s discovery of her role as a woman in various phases and facets of her life. For example, by profession she is like “spiderman,” a female worker who deals with steel. Although the other women in the construction field, it’s not in such a dangerous occupation, but electricians and the driver or the like. In addition to this unusual occupation, Raina also tries to present herself as a person who is neither female nor male, with her short hair and worn-out jeans. She conducts an experiment with her feminine side when in a relationship with the architect at the construction site, Vlad Radev. Raina and Vlad play traditional gender roles in the relationship; for example, their relationship begins when Raina irons Vlad’s shirt.

Raina searches for her identity by imagining herself through totally different perspectives. These perspective also show other identities in the novel, namely the Socialist mentality of the state. The fact is that this novel deals with the topic of gender and how it is creates perspective and circumstance of the state, demonstrates the importance of external environment in dictating the human identity. For example, the Socialist perspective mainly dealt with watching women and men as unparalleled in the business. This focus on human capacity as workers discarded the traditional structure of gender. In the novel, this new focus prepared Raina for this journey to self-discovery, which is centered on the
sebe, koje je centriralo na povratku u tradicionalan život žene.

Fokus na identitetu je od velikog značaja u knjizi o Balkanu u kojoj se radi o vremenu kad je artikulacija narodnog identiteta u Bugarskoj, u socialističkom periodu. Mnoga dela balkanske književnosti se bave ovom temom izraženom na različite načine. Verovatno, je nacionalni identitet izveden iz duge borbe i ustanka protiv Osmanskog carstva. Omsanska okupacija je možda najzvečiji i najznačajniji događaj u istoriji Balkana. Kroz roman kao *Putovanje u sebe*, koji istražuje ljudske odnose i identitet, može se izvući metafora za istraživanje i artikulaciju tradicionalne prirode, koji su iskusni u Balkanskim narodima posle izlaza vladajućeg Osmanskog carstva. Ovo je čitanje Balkanske književnosti koja spaja istoriju i književnost.

The pivotal focus on identity, in Balkan literature, deals with the articulation of national identity in Bulgaria during the socialist period. Many pieces of Balkan literature deal with this topic expressed in different ways. Presumably, the national identity was derived from the long struggle with, and the rebellion against the Ottoman Empire. The Ottoman occupation was perhaps the most horrific and significant event in the history of the Balkans. Throughout the novel, *Journey to One’s Self*, which explores identity and human relationship, the quest for a traditional nature and being appears to be one following the end of the Ottoman rule. This reading of Balkan literature connects the historical and literary.
Marginalization and persecution are themes which have always loomed large in Jewish identity. The Sephardic Jews’ expulsion from Spain in 1492 was one of many such incidents of mistreatment. But in the city of Salonika, Greece, the suffering of exile was transformed into a rare manifestation of freedom and prosperity for the Jewish community. The city’s nicknames from the 16th through the 19th century were Madre d’ Israel and the ‘Jerusalem of the Balkans’ (Molho: 2005, 37). The extent to which the Jews felt that the city belonged to them as their home, so unusual in Jewish history, is apparent in the Judeo-Spanish folktale *The Sanctification of God’s Name*, recorded in Judeo-Spanish from a former Greek citizen in 1969 (Ben-Amos: 2006, 49). This tale depicts the confidence of the Jewish people in the city they shared with Muslims and Christians, and communicates and affirms their pride in their Jewish identity.

The significance that the tale places on the association between Jews and the Turkish elite suggests that the Jews of Salonika valued and achieved acceptance into mainstream culture. The head rabbi himself, Rabbi Covo, is legitimized at the beginning of the story by the fact that the Sultan had confirmed his position as hakham bashi, or chief rabbi. This position was a creation of the Ottoman Empire, and the fact that the tale’s narrator legitimates the head of the community by citing his approval by the Sultan to fulfill this role shows not only an acceptance of the community, but also an internalization of its hierarchy. The narrative incorporates Turkish words without explanation, suggesting that the narrator assumes his audience is familiar with the Turkish lexicon. The Turkish words in the text almost all apply to official positions in the administration of the city or the millets within it. This was the daily life of all citizens in Salonika. The fact that the narrator of the tale does not translate the words demonstrates that Jews felt as much a part of the city as the several other groups living there. Their attitude about their integration is suggested by the narrator’s emphasis on the rabbi’s legitimacy in the Ottoman structure, and the ultimate authority of the Sultan.

The entrance of the Christian merchant into the tale establishes a comparison between the Muslims, Christians and Jews of Salonika by grouping them together and challenging them all with a test. The merchant is characterized as an outsider, from Bulgaria. He is vulnerable and clearly knows no one in Salonika for he “looked for a safe place to store [his money] but could find no one” (Ben-Amos: 2006, 45). The situation created by the arrival of the merchant can be examined using the framework of Vladimir Propp’s “Functions of the Dramatis Personae” in folktales. Propp’s twelfth function, in which “The Hero is tested, interrogated, attacked, etc.” (Propp: 2008, 39) bears similarities to this juncture in the Judeo-Spanish tale. In this framework the “hero” is as yet undecided—the people of Salonika make up the entity being tested, and the three major religions of the city are its representatives. The merchant functions as a narrative device for bringing these groups together for the sake of comparison.

In their responses to the merchant the three religious groups representing Salonika assert their own identities that differentiate them from one another. In this way they can be compared to the figures in another motif of Slavic folklore: the three brothers. In tales that utilize this trope, before a distinct hero emerges, three brothers are approached with a request or challenge. Their individual
responses reveal their characters, and also often their fates. The youngest brother ultimately is the heroic or righteous one. The first of the three “brothers,” then, to be tested in The Sanctification of God’s Name, is the Greek Orthodox Church, represented by “the Christian patriarch of Salonika” (Ben-Amos: 2006, 45). When put to the test, the patriarch is cruel, greedy and deceitful: he “denied having ever received the money” that the merchant “had entrusted to him for safekeeping” just a few days before (Ben-Amos: 2006, 45). The merchant is thus in even more desperate straits when he visits the second “brother” of the story than he was as a solitary and vulnerable traveler appealing to the patriarch. The second “brother” represents the Muslims, but it is telling that the representative is a kadi, or judge, rather than a religious figure. As the ruling group in the Ottoman Empire, the Turks existed as a political and powerful entity beyond the religiously defined millet. The kadi is not explicitly unjust; he simply “replied that there was nothing he could do in this strange affair” (Ben-Amos: 2006, 46). His response appears objectionable as well as useless only when compared to that of the third “brother.”

The analogy of the Jewish people with the youngest of the three brothers in the ‘three brother’ motif corroborates the sense of community by identifying the Jews as powerful in unconventional ways. The fact that there is a Jewish quarter itself suggests that, while the Sultan may have confirmed Rabbi Covo’s title, the Jews are still a subordinated people and separate from the ruling class. The facts that the Jews are the last group the merchant consults, that he does not even think to consult them, and that it is stated that he has already “consulted with lawyers and influential people” (Ben-Amos: 2006, 45) indicate that the Jews are the politically weakest of the three religious groups. Like the third, youngest and weakest brother in other tales, the Jews seem the least likely group to be helpful in the situation. But the unconventional strength of this group becomes clear as soon as the merchant enters the Jewish quarter. Compassion is the first strength that is demonstrated, when the merchant’s Jewish acquaintance notices that his friend is troubled and offers his concern and advice without being asked. His recommendation of the rabbi, and the fact that this conversation occurs in the Jewish quarter, establish that there is a firm community behind Rabbi Covo, supporting him and ultimately giving him his significance. Furthermore, the rabbi is described as “very clever” (Ben-Amos: 2006, 46) rather than strong or powerful—thus this tale, like many others, emphasizes the power of wit. When the rabbi finally enters the story, his response to the merchant illustrates other strengths—in saying he will “try to recover” the money “with God’s help” the rabbi is not claiming omnipotence but rather is humbly declaring his human reliance on help, specifically on God’s help. This is also, of course, an affirmation of faith. This tale is set up, like the three brothers tales, to be one in which moral virtue overcomes conventional powers.

The Patriarch’s destructive actions and the distinct identity of the merchant set up a
conflict between the Jews and the Muslims in the tale. It has already been mentioned that the patriarch’s response to the merchant is uniquely malevolent, in its deceitfulness and cruelty. The Church’s identity as the “eldest brother,” and therefore the first to be tested, is strengthened by the fact that it represents the merchant’s own religion. Like the eldest, strongest brother, it seems the most likely to help the merchant, just as Judaism seems like the least obvious choice. The situation of the merchant is much worse once he has been mistreated and turned away by his own people. The Church also looks particularly bad having treated a Christian so poorly. All of this amounts to putting Muslims and Jews in a situation distinctly different from that of the second two brothers in the three brother tales. For they are not confronted with the same request that the patriarch was confronted with. The patriarch has created a problem that must be rectified, and the Muslims and Jews are the two groups vying to do it. In order to rectify the situation one of the two groups must take the man in as his own religion failed to do. Particularly in light of how bad the Church is made to look here, this seems very much like a competition to convert this Christian. The merchant is the perfect material for conversion, as he was unwittingly tricked by the patriarch, therefore remaining an innocent person despite the poor behavior of the representative of his religion. He is also alone and looking for someone to trust. Despite the Muslims’ political power in this city, the Jewish religion is in a position now to compete with this empowered group on religious terms.

The merchant’s desperate situation provides a specifically Jewish bent to the story, for there is a great emphasis on charity, or tzedakah, in the Jewish tradition. Tzedakah literally means righteousness in Hebrew, and is “considered to be one of the cardinal commandments in the Bible and the criterion of the righteous,” thus it “holds a central place in Judaism” (Haboucha: 1977, 154). This is the root of the widespread sentiment in Judeo-Spanish tales that “even one dependent on charity is obliged to give to those less fortunate than him or herself,” because “charity is the legitimate right of the poor and the obligation of the donor, since one’s possessions really belong to God and are in his power” (Haboucha: 1977, 160-161). The Jewish people are not portrayed as starving or impoverished in this tale, but the narrative makes it clear that they are not in a position of authority. Unlike the Muslim Turks, but similar to the Christian merchant, they are at a disadvantage compared to the patriarch. If this juncture in the tale corresponds to Propp’s twelfth function of the dramatis personae, in which “the donor tests the hero” (Propp 39), then the test here is to perform tzedakah. When the rabbi is the only religious leader to take on this challenge, he is demonstrating, as a representative of the Jewish people, one of the most esteemed and valued virtues in Jewish tradition. One form of tzedakah that the Jewish tradition stresses in particular is tzedakah towards strangers. During Passover, an important Jewish holiday, it is tra-
ditional to include strangers in need, often non-Jews, in the Seder, the Passover meal. The fact that the merchant is introduced in this tale as a foreigner from Bulgaria, “staying at an inn among strangers,” identifies him as a member of a category that Jewish tradition specifically encourages and values tzedakah towards (Ben-Amos: 2006, 45). His neediness is established when the patriarch steals the money “on which his life depended” (Ben-Amos: 2006, 45). As a disadvantaged group reaching out to do whatever is possible to provide charity to this stranger in need, the narrative immediately defines the Jews to a Jewish audience as the heroes of the tale.

The position of the Jewish people among its “brothers” in Salonika is elaborated in the manner in which Rabbi Covo goes about solving the merchant’s problem and performing tzedakah. His relationship to the representatives of the religious groups he shares Salonika with does in fact seem brotherly. There is no indication that the patriarch is surprised when the rabbi visits him at home to have a casual conversation, suggesting that this is not an unusual occurrence. The rabbi and the patriarch enjoy two friendly visits in each other’s homes in the course of a few days (Ben-Amos: 2006, 46). The rabbi later invites the kadi and wali (the Muslim judge and “guardian”) to his home as well (Ben-Amos: 2006, 47). But the rabbi is simultaneously ridiculing and criticizing the patriarch. The rabbi creates a false pretense in front of the patriarch of being “on his side” by describing the merchant as “some common man” (Ben-Amos: 2006, 46). The fact that in showing disrespect for this stranger and claiming that he “threw him out of my house,” the rabbi is establishing himself as on the patriarch’s side is a critique of the patriarch’s character in a Jewish context (Ben-Amos: 2006, 46). For these actions are directly opposed to the Jewish concept of tzedakah. The patriarch’s uncharitable actions and words are highly objectionable in the Jewish tradition, as already discussed. The kadi’s response that “there was nothing he could do” also appears more problematic in light of the Jewish belief that no matter what one does or does not have at one’s disposal, one can and must always give to those in need. The rabbi’s efforts to help the merchant, the non-Jewish stranger, as well as his subtle critiques of the patriarch’s actions speak highly of the Jews and portray them as morally superior. The rabbi’s reliance on wit and tricks, though, seems to compound the Jews’ “youngest brother” status in the city. The rabbi’s strategy of retrieving the pouch of money behind the patriarch’s back and then revealing it to him rests on the assumption that there is no other way the rabbi would have the authority to refute the patriarch’s claim or convince him to confess. And, indeed, when the rabbi first tells the patriarch “Heaven has revealed to me that that merchant’s pouch is in your possession and that you took it from him,” the patriarch “jeered at this notion” (Ben-Amos: 2006, 47). His act of calling the patriarch, kadi and wali to his home to witness the truth of his accusation seems vindictive. It is not necessary for the rabbi to have an audience when he proves the patriarch wrong, and it seems he simply wants to publicly humiliate the man, and make the point to the Muslim representatives that he was successful where they were not. These desires im-
ply anger and resentment on the part of the rabbi, for he would not feel the need to make these points if he felt that his views and people were adequately recognized and respected by the other religious groups of the city.

But the tale’s portrayal of Rabbi Covo is ultimately humorous and contradictory, and in this way the tale softens its criticism of the other religious groups of Salonika. While he seems morally superior to the patriarch and kadi in taking on the merchant’s predicament, the rabbi’s virtuous actions to rectify a deceitful crime are themselves deceitful. He lies to the patriarch about his feelings, tricks him by getting him drunk and obtaining his trust, and finally steals the pouch of money from him that he originally stole from the merchant. His righteousness in upholding the Jewish commandment to perform tzedakah is called into question by his dubious means of doing so, as well as his seemingly vindictive motives.

This conflicted portrayal of the rabbi is part of a larger ironic and in some ways derisive treatment of religion in the tale, which contributes to a strong portrayal of the Jewish community, if not to the highest praise of its religious leader. The fact that the rabbi almost sarcastically makes reference to God’s help when he has received no such divine help (Ben-Amos: 2006, 46, 47), can be seen as impious. It can also be viewed as once again mocking the Muslim and Christian leaders by demonstrating the ridiculous things they will believe. This is closer to the point, because the argument that it would be ridiculous to believe that God could transport a pouch of money to an urn sheds light on the general attitude of the story.

The tale’s lighthearted attitude towards religion points to the fact that this is not, in fact, a religious tale with a religious moral. The rabbi’s references to God are not genuine and the description of his prayers over the urn is satirical—he “read a few psalms and recited a kabbalistic formula” (Ben-Amos: 2006, 47). The tale subverts the religious notion of tzedakah, for a rabbi’s charitable acts are carried out through deception and stealing. Tzedakah is also traditionally considered most honorable and virtuous when it is carried out in secret and not spoken about afterwards, for the charitable person is not supposed to be motivated by hopes of praise. This is clearly not how the Rabbi Covo carries out his tzedakah; instead, he insists on an audience. The patriarch’s just desserts in this tale are his recognition of the rabbi’s superior wit, and the loss of his position in Salonika. These are low prices to pay compared to the death, starvation or miserable afterlives suffered by the uncharitable in more religious folktales (Haboucha: 1977, 162). And the merchant who is, ultimately, converted to Jewish belief (Ben-Amos: 2006, 47) has really been fooled. The story surely glorifies Judaism, but it glorifies a cultural, everyday Judaism, the Judaism of the community represented by the rabbi.

The tale’s power is in its humor and entertainment, and these are rooted in its realistic representation of and commentary on the daily lives of the Jews of Salonika in the 19th century. The attitude that it would be unbelievable for God to intervene in this relatively mundane situation reflects the fact that the Sephardic Jews of Salonika do not identify as Jews because of God’s constant and active presence in their lives. The things that really identify the Jews, according to this story, are their cleverness and wit and their superior use of modest means and authority. And they are certainly aided by their esteem for kindness and charity, but their form of tzedakah is an imperfect form, not a biblical one. The rabbi in this tale is standing in for the Jewish people and as such is not simply a religious figure but a witty, subversive, confident figure. His greatest strength is his pride in his identity, and this tale is satisfying and enjoyable for its audience because it affirms that he has good reasons for such pride.

Their pride and confidence despite the au-
Authority of other religious groups made the Jewish community of Salonika unique. Jews are historically “normally minorities in both the demographical and the cultural sense” and “they only rarely maintain close relations with the other ethnic or social minorities with which they cohabitate. The Jewish minorities differ in their behavior from other minorities in that they do not have any political aspirations” (Molho: 2005, 45). This tale demonstrates just how different the Jews’ situation was in Salonika. They were not, in fact, a minority for much of their history in the city (Molho: 2005, 243). This tale portrays both brotherly and competitive feelings towards the other ethnic groups in the city, but it certainly makes it clear that the Jews had “close relations” with these groups. And, while the tale is not a contest between the religious beliefs of the three represented groups, it is a contest of wits between the representatives of these groups. The confidence exhibited by the tale demonstrates that Jews in Salonika not only aspired to have power among neighboring groups, but they in fact achieved such power. Tragically, this tale now represents a fragment of what was lost when the Nazis murdered ninety six percent of Salonika’s Jewish population (Molho: 2005, 42). But it is a testament to the strength of the community and to the stability it once enjoyed that this tale could be told with such humor and pride in 1969, in the face of the memory of such destruction.
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I was taking a nice nap, even dreaming a little, when a sudden and terrible sound woke me. I had no idea what it was, but I knew I was furious; who had the right to try to wake me like that? Blearily I woke, blinking once... twice... and what I saw left me shaken. I had no idea what to make of it all.

I saw blue butterflies that were larger than my hand and had the oddest faces... their heads were tomatoes with two potatoes in place of their eyes. I didn't know what to make of it or do. They flew around me, screeching in some foreign language, but how they did it baffles me since they didn't even have mouths. There were so many of them that I couldn't possibly even count them all—at least two thousand! They flew in circles around my head, giving me a headache... what happened to me?

I'm not sure how, but I finally got up the energy to get up, and I must admit that I wasn't prepared for the sight I was met with. All of a sudden I saw more butterflies, or whatever the name is for those creatures, more than I could ever possibly count. They grouped together in the oddest form, creating the shape of a person! It was unbelievable... weirder than anything else I'd ever seen. I stood completely frozen as the odd figure lowered himself and picked something up. I can't even describe what it was since it still perplexes me. Like an imbecile, I simply stood there as he approached me and hit me. I promptly passed out.
If I bend down low enough, I can understand the pain that the grass feels when I step upon it.

I try to explain this to my wife.

She says to me, “some things about you I can’t manage to understand, some things, but I can’t lose hope, however, that one of them I will understand. But how the grass concerns you, no, that I will never understand. How can someone like you,” she asks me, “how can someone like you think at all about what the grass feels?”

Well, in that regard, she is like that woman in a David Albahari story, only that this woman couldn’t understand his love for blacks. That is, she thought that he was a faggot, while he only tried to think differently.

In other words, I think in different ways. That is what my wife can’t grasp.

So I ask, “what do you think—someone like me? What kind of a person am I?”

“I don’t feel like talking about it,” she says, “I don’t want to open my mouth and I don’t feel like closing it afterward. We’ve discussed this so many times.”

But I don’t give up: “How do you know,” I say, “what I am talking about? When have you bent down like that? When have you seen the blades of grass?”

She turns around, the first time she truly looks me in the eye and she still has those same plump lips that force me to think about a totally different thing, the one that I never fail to think about whenever I look at those lips. And, all the while I’m thinking about that, she says, “you believe in words so much it makes me sick. I almost feel like throwing up when I listen to you.”

Those are serious accusations, and I would like to have a little time to think them over, but if I stop now, she will fall asleep, surely she’ll drop off and then what will I do?

“Those are serious accusations,” I say, “and I would like—”

She interjects: “don’t drag this out like some kind of cunt. Just answer right now, if you have anything to say.”

“You are wrong,” I say, “I asked the question. You need to answer.”

“This is going nowhere,” she says and lifts her hand to pull the switch on the lamp above the bed.

Well, she raises her hand and behind it, from under the cover, creeps a breast, but at the same moment, darkness falls and I can’t see where I am anymore.

“Why did you go silent?” she asks.

A little later: “Why’d you shut up?”

Then she offers her hand: “Did you get an-
But I’m already far ahead. I do see her hand behind me, but I don’t care. Even a soft touch wouldn’t bother me. I’m old enough to take care of myself, if that’s the matter. About myself, if I’m in the middle of it. And who is not? Oh, if it was already solved, then I would know why we would need to waste time with further explanations. Call me Ne- nad and I will come. Call me Predrag, I will answer. Call me Smilja and I will come running. I adapt myself excellently. I’m even willing to concede, if concessions are necessary. But no, they aren’t. “My dear,” I say with tremendous delay. I hear her droning breath like the noise of some stylish chairs on which I sat only once. I wait a little. I wait, but don’t try to search for it, I don’t know from which side to search. “Dear,” I say once more. Someone gets up from that chair and the waiting room is now surely empty. If you don’t know where someone is, then it’s best to pretend that you know, and I say straight into the darkness above me: “You’re right, I do believe in words.”

The Name of a Thing

In some instances, they say, I am very peaceful.

In other words, if I touch a body, I know that I’m touching a body. If I walk up to the window, I know what I need to watch. If I’m thirsty, I take a cup and fill it with water. If I’m not here, nothing can bring me back.

I tried to explain this to them. I said, “life is not a sentence, speech is not acquainted with textual symbols. You can’t command someone to call things by names which you have selected.

“We haven’t selected anything,” they said.

“If I wanted something to be called by your name, then I would make that happen,” I said. “But if I wanted it to be called by another name, no one would prevent me from doing that.”

They looked at each other. “That’s fine by us,” they said. “We don’t have anything against that.”

“Then what’s the problem?” I asked.


U priči “Ime stvari,” Albahari takođe opisuje razlike među ljudima. Pripovedač govori o imenima stvari, kako može da se nazovu stvari, ali ljudi s kojim on govori čak ne mogu da ga razumeju. Nemaju nikakvih mišljenja o imenima. Zato ne mogu da zaista slušaju pripovedača. To je odlična prilika, od kojeg bi brinuli oba (i pripovedač i drugi ljudi), onda bi se razumeli. Na kraju, nemamo ništa zato što reči, koji kaže pripovedač ne znači ništa za slušaoca.

Razlike među ljudima, posebno između spikera i slušača su fokusivane na razlike između stvari i reči. Kada spikeri probaju da kažu nešto slušačima, rade to zbog važnosti izražavanja i zbog važnosti razgovora. Definicijom, razgovor među ljudima je pokušaj da prevede nešto iz područja iz iskustva u
područje jezika. Pripovedač veruje da je pre-
vod iz iskustva u izražavanje jedan od glavnih
problema ljudskog života. Živeli bi ljudi na
svetu, bili bi samo kao životinje ili i trava. Ra-
zlika među ljudima i životinjima je da ljudi
uvec živu između stvari i jezika. Kada ljuđe
ne interesuje ta razlika, u tom trenutku, ljudi
ne mogu da razgovaraju i jedno drugo i sebi
razumeju.

Albahari zna da se nalazi nada u tome: da bi
verovao čovek u reči, mogao bi on da se nađe
za komunikaciju s njegovim komšijama.
Samo rečima može da nazove stvari i, takođe,
da razmišlja o ovim stvari. Kada se brine od
reči, može da se brine od ljudi. Kada se brine
o rečima, može da se brine o ljudima. To ne
znači da je život rečenica. Kao što je kazao
Albahari u “Ime stvari,” pogreška je da nje-
gove slušaoceni misle da su život i rečenice isti.
Rečenice rade prema pravilima, koja nisu
slična kao pravila života. Na primer, samo
zato što čovek može da napravi rečenicu ili
nazove nešto ne znači da je stvarno nešto
radio. Dokaz se nalazi samo kad ovaj čovek
uspe da tu rečenicu objasni nekom drugom
čoveku i on je razume. To je snaga reči, koja je
glavni problem u pisanju Albaharija.
Jedne subote poslije podne sam se uzbuđeno zaputila u sjeverni dio Chicaga. Nadala sam se da ću tamo naći neki kafić iz bivše Jugoslavije ne bih li mogla odati se svojoj tajnoj strasti: praćenju Evrovizije -- Europskog famoznog muzičkog takmičenja.


Međutim, ove godine u Chicagu, zabrinula sam se: kako ću gledati Evroviziju? Nedavno sam čula jednu reportažu o Evroviziji na

EURO AMERIČKA VIZIJA
Cécile Jouhanneau

One Saturday afternoon, I headed off to the north side of Chicago. I was hoping to find a café run by a Yugoslav in order to satisfy my old passion for watching Eurovision—the famous European music competition.

In France, maybe in Western Europe in general, Eurovision appears to the majority of people as a funny, senseless anachronism. But even as a young girl, I started to watch and engross myself in the songs, singing different languages. In France, the Eurovision program does not revolve only around the songs, but rather around the media coverage that tries to awaken some kind of European identity among the viewers. Therefore, I enjoy its many charms, especially the pictures of old singers from the beginning of the European Union. Of course, Eurovision is also a chance for French public television and the ministry of culture to express their nationalism, just like during big sport events. They always broadcast the same coverage about the golden era of Eurovision when French singers often won, and when Eurovision was a source of new talent, for example France Gall (who won in the sixties with one song by Serge Gainsbourg). However, France has not won for a long time, nor received more than a bunch of embarrassing points.

I had thought that my passion for Eurovision was some kind of anachronism, until I arrived in Bosnia. There I with joy noticed that the majority of people, especially young people, are interested in Eurovision and that the song that represents the country in the competition was constantly played on the radio—in other words, that it is not out of fashion to follow the competition.

This year, however, in Chicago, I was worried about finding a place to watch Eurovision. I recently heard one report on Public Radio:

Having in mind that Americans don't watch Eurovision, I hope to find more understanding among people from former Yugoslavia. I headed towards Lincoln Square, because I thought that there was a Bosnian bookstore, and certainly some kind of café run by people from the region. AS soon as I arrived in that neighborhood, I noticed nice cafes with terraces, a trattoria with flowers, but also one dim café. The menu was written in Cyrillic, and I soon was assured that the language was Serbian. Slightly anxious, I entered into this dark, almost empty café. On the walls were several TV sets. This made me think that they may broadcast Eurovision. However, all the screens revealed a tennis match. In the back of the café, stood a young waitress. I approached her and asked, in my French-Bosnian language, if she knew where I could watch Eurovision. She kindly replied that she knew nothing about it, that they only show sports events, but that certainly be able to watch Eurovision in “one of their restaurants.” Having said that, she looked at me from head to toe, sizing me up, in order to figure out whether I was one of them, or not. Then she turned to an older, fat, gloomy man, who sat there reading the news. He looked like he could be the owner of the café. He also looked at me from head to toe, and realized that I was not one of them. He said plainly that he did not know where I could...
no da sam imala dojam da se ponovo bavim istraživačkim radom u Brčkom i da neki ljudi misle da sam špijun. Taj neugodni, sumnjivi razgovor je trajao malo duže, sve dok čovjek nije pitao konobaricu: "A o kojem programu ona govori?

Onda sam shvatila da uprkos osjećaju da se nalazim u nekom kafiću u Bosni, ipak sam u Americi. Iako je Eurovizija postala bitnim kulturnim događajem u savremenim zemljama bivše Jugoslavije, možda ljudima koji su davno otišli ne znači ništa.

Ova priča nema pouke – nisam našla niti jedan kafić gdje bih mogla pogledati prenos Eurovizije.

find it. He looked at me so coldly and suspiciously that felt like I was again doing my research in the field in Brčko, where some people thought I was a spy. This unpleasant and suspicious exchange lasted a bit longer, until the man asked the waitress: “And what is the program she is talking about?”

Then I realized that although I felt as if I were in a Bosnian café, I was actually still in America. Despite the fact that Eurovision became an important cultural event in the new countries of former Yugoslavia, it may not mean anything to those who had left long ago.

This story has no moral—I did not find any café where I would be able to watch the broadcasting of Eurovision.

Iako ovaj san nije najbolji primer o čemu se radi, naravno neki detalji su jasni. Zbog toga što će uskoro biti izbori u SAD-u, mislim često o ličnostima ovih kandidata, čitam stvari u novinama, i slično. Čak i apolitičan čovek ne može da stvarno opstane bez novosti o izborima. Interesantno je da snovi kao da su napravljeni od delova naših života.


Recently I had a dream about Obama coming to our Serbo-Croatian language class. We had a lesson about the pedagogy of teaching a language, in order to prepare ourselves to teach languages at universities and high schools. As a guest, Obama lectured about issues that we would need to know before we start teaching students at the first level. It was a little strange that Obama did not actually say anything about the language or the teaching methods that would be useful in the classroom. We were all sitting in a room that was located in the basement. The room was much bigger than we needed, since we had only ten or twelve students in class. While lecturing, Obama held in hand a bottle of wine, or something stronger than that. From time to time he would take a sip quickly without interrupting his presentation. After this, the dream turned out to be quite bizarre. People started to chase each other around the room. Nobody could hear anymore what Obama was talking about.

Although this dream was not the best example of the facts, certainly some parts are, of course, clear. Owing to the fact that we’ll soon have the elections in the US, I often think about the characters of the candidates, read about it in newspapers, and the like. Even a person not interested in politics cannot survive without news about the elections. It is interesting that dreams are made of pieces from our real lives. While asleep, things from everyday life enter our dreams: people, events, or thoughts. We can dream about a whole event or only about a small portion of it. The clearest examples are dreams about something very important. This could be a political situation, as I have already mentioned. But this accounts for only a small percentage of the ways in which our reality penetrates the dream. Usually, the parts from reality turn into the smallest and least
significant portions of our dreams. In short, these are the things that we would like to forget, however, it is clear that these segments are not completely insignificant because we often contemplate them at night. I still don't understand the meaning of my dream about Obama in the classroom, but perhaps it will be very important in the future. Or at least it seems to me that to speak about politics is the same as to talk about language pedagogy.
MOJI ZEMLJACI
Nicolás Grosso

Moj su zemljaci iznad svega dobri i ljubačni ljudi, koji vole život i prijatelje, uprkos mnogim problemima u svojoj zemlji.


Buenos Aires je jako veliki grad, sa oko dvanest miliona stanovnika. Također, je vrlo lepi i evropski grad, sa lepim zgradama i ulicama, i portenjos su naravno mnogo ponosni. Oni imaju mnogo kosmopolitski i preopterećen život, ali vole da se opuste šetajući parkovima, pijući „mate“ (vrsta napitka) preko vikenda i idući u kazalište uveče da gledaju nove predstave.

In unutrašnosti zemlje, s druge strane, ljudi su opušteniji jer su gradovi manji i nema toliko brige. Uopšte, u malim gradovima se radi na poljima, na djelatnostima povezana sa poljoprivredom. Ljudi vole spavati za vreme „sieste“: svakodnevno dućani se zatvaraju oko ručka i ponovo se otvaraju oko pet sati, do deset sati.


Kad god je Argentina bila demokratska, politika je uvek bila tema rasprave za argents-

MY COUNTRYMEN
Nicolás Grosso

My countrymen are above all good and kind people, who love life and friends, in spite of the many problems in their country.

Even though I think that Argentineans are one people, there exist differences between Argentineans from Buenos Aires and locals from other parts in the country. In fact, Argentineans from the “inside” of the country (as we say in Spanish) think that “porteños” (as we call locals of Buenos Aires, the word means “form the port”) are arrogant and think that Argentina is only Buenos Aires.

Buenos Aires is a really big city, with about twelve million residents. Also, it is a very beautiful and European city, with beautiful buildings and streets, and porteños are of course very proud. They have a very cosmopolitan and busy life, but like to relax walking in the parks and drinking mate (a kind of herbal drink) during the weekend.

In the “inside” of the country, on the other hand, people are more relaxed because cities are smaller and there are not so many worries. In general, in small towns people work in the fields and activities connected to agriculture. People like to sleep during the time of “siesta” (nap): everyday shops close around noon and open again around five, until 10 in the evening.

A typical Argentinean tradition is “asado” – as we call stake – on Sunday. Friend get together to prepare meat – in general the men cook the meat while the women prepare salads and desserts. This is in general a social event, and often it is just an opportunity for people to meet, or it can be a birthday celebration, for example. With meat, of course, red wine is drunk, especially in Mendoza – my province – because there exists a great tradition of wine.
As long as Argentina has been democratic, politics has been a big topic at the Argentinian table, especially because Argentineans like to complain about the economical and political situation. It is not hard to run into a protest when walking around the center of Buenos Aires on a normal day, and sometimes the protesters block the traffic on the street, so that drivers also rightfully complain.

Nevertheless, I think that Argentineans are good and kind people, and I, like a true Argentine, love them.
Памтим моје прве мисле о роду, када сам била мала: знала сам да ћу бити глумица, на бици испред обожајуће публике. Нисам имала разлог да страхујем од неповољних коментара—моји родители, бака и деда су пљескали после сваке представе у којој смо моја сестра и ја глумиле, све команде сам ја написала у дневној соби. Заплети су рекли приче о пријатељицама које су зарекле, једући сладопед, да увек остану најбоље пријатељице, или о младим вештицима које се крају од сумњивих местана. Костими и сценографије су били мимитирни—само што бисмо билих налазиле у кући—али нисам марила шта је видела публика. Била сам тако срећна да живим у свету мојег стварања!

Овладаваше уметносшћу глуме, мој следећи циљ је био да учим да плешем. Када сам имала седам година, почела сам да учим балетске позиције, стојим право и отворим бокиве—све гибајући се! Моја инструкторка Дорит, која је увек носила њену косу у дугој плетеници, често ме је китиковала Мислим да је она веовала да ја сам плесала певајући, и то ће био зашто нисам могла да упамти кореографију. (У истину, бројала сам откуцаје.) Схватила сам да нисам била најјача или најталантовнија ученица, али молеље плешуће тело у огледалу је почело да ме одвраћа. Растала сам од Дорит кад сам имала 13 година, и две године после тога оставила сам балет.

Недостајао ми је увек балет, али сада нашла сам себе на сцени где смо плеселе сваког лета—у аудиторију у школи. Моја прва улога у престави «Драма клуб» је био посетилац музеја који коментарише слику с човеком, који се будио и мисли да је сликар Дега. Говорила и стојила сам на

I still remember my first thoughts on my future work, when I was little: I knew that I would be the most successful actress, on stage in front of an adoring audience. I had no reason to believe I could ever receive unfavorable reviews—my parents, grandmother and grandfather clapped after each performance in which my sister and I acted. I wrote and staged each play in the living room. The plays told stories of friends who move away from each other, but, while eating ice cream, promise to remain friends, and young witches who hide themselves from suspicious townspeople. Costumes and sets were limited to what we found in the house, but I didn't care what the audience saw. I was just happy to live in a world of my own creation!

Having mastered acting, my next goal was to learn to dance. When I was seven I began to study the ballet positions, to stand straight and to square my hips—all while moving. Dorrit, my instructor, who always wore her hair in a long braid, always had a critique for me. I think she thought I was singing to the music under my breath, and that was why I couldn't memorize the choreography. (In truth, I was counting the beats.) I realized I wasn't the strongest or most talented student, but I loved to dance. As I grew older, my dancing body in the mirror began to bother me. Dorrit moved away when I was 13 years old, and two years later I had to quit ballet.

I always missed ballet, but now I found myself on the same stage where we had performed every year, in the high school auditorium. My first role in a Drama Club play was a museumgoer who muses over a painting with a man who wakes up and thinks he has become the artist Degas. I was speaking and standing on a stage in front of a laughing audience! This was, I thought, my first taste of fame.
sceni испред смеjуће публике! То је био, мислила сам, моj први укус славе.

После тога, моjе име се указивало ретко у програму. Нисам била у центу пажња, а помогала сам пумцима вежбаjу. Коначно моj приjатељ ми jе прешао, а ли би me занимало да режирам комад о жени, коjа жуди снагу у предузећоj Америци, Наравно! Режирала сам два друга представе, једну, о научницима коjи покушаваjи да путуjу на месец, и другу (моjа наjвољениjа), вулгарна сатира британских мистериjа о убиству. Волим да режирам и видим сада како толичи на журналистику—и jедан и други треба иштина да охрабре људе д кажу или аде шта желе, без да то кажу.

Данас jа сам задовљна да гледам опере и концерте с стрицем, али представе me инспиришу jедног дана ћу да певам...и само на сцени!

After that my name rarely appeared in the playbills. I wasn't in the spotlight, but I helped actors apply their make-up. Eventually my friend asked me if I would like to direct a play about a power-hungry woman in corporate America. Of course! I directed two other plays: one, about scientists trying to travel to the moon, and the other (my favorite), a vulgar satire of British murder mysteries. I love to direct and now I see how similar it is to journalism: Both require encouraging people to say or do what you want them to without telling them.

Today I am content t watch operas and concerts with my uncle, but the performances are beginning to inspire me to sing some day...but only on stage!
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